PEER EVALUATION OF CLASSROOM TEACHING DEPARTMENT OF MEDICINE

Faculty member observed:	Observer:	
Date: Length of observation:		
Setting (Student lecture, House staff lecture, Grand Rounds, etc.):		
Types of learners (students, residents, fellows, faculty, etc.):		
Approximate number of learners present:		
Lecture evaluation:		
Communicates goals of session		
☐ 1-Yes ☐ 2-Mostly ☐ 3-Sor		□ N/A
Communicates or demonstrates relevance of	·	
☐ 1-Yes ☐ 2-Mostly ☐ 3-Sor Shows enthusiasm for the topic	newhat 4-No	□ N/A
□ 1-Yes □ 2-Mostly □ 3-Sor	newhat 🗌 4-No	□ N/A
Uses voice, facial expressions, and gestures effectively while speaking		
1-Yes 2-Mostly 3-Sor		□ N/A
Demonstrates command of the subject matter	r	
□ 1-Yes □ 2-Mostly □ 3-Sor		□ N/A
Clearly explains and summarizes key concep		
1-Yes 2-Mostly 3-Sor		□ N/A
Summarizes/reiterates key points in conclusion		□ N/A
·		—
Visual aid evaluation: (syllabus, handouts, PowerPoint, videos, etc.) Material is well organized (e.g. introduces concepts in a sequential manner)		
□ 1-Yes □ 2-Mostly □ 3-Sor		er) □ N/A
Uses visual aids to complement or reinforce t	_	
□ 1-Yes □ 2-Mostly □ 3-Sor	·	□ N/A
Visual aids are simple and easy to read	—	—
1-Yes 2-Mostly 3-Sor	mewhat 🗌 4-No	🗌 N/A
Includes only material relevant to the discussion or presentation		
☐ 1-Yes ☐ 2-Mostly ☐ 3-Sor	mewhat 🗌 4-No	□ N/A
Formal presentation evaluation: (Grand Rounds, research symposia, etc)		
Presents data, provides critical analysis, deve		
□ 1-Yes □ 2-Mostly □ 3-Sor		□ N/A
Draws conclusions based on independent an		
1-Yes 2-Mostly 3-Sor	newhat 4-No	□ N/A
Overall evaluation:		
Based on others you've observed in similar settings, how would you rank this faculty member?		
☐ 1-Top 25% ☐ 2-Top 50%	3-Bottom 50%	4-Bottom 25%
Provide comments on areas done well, areas for improvement and recommended next steps:		