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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Nonspecific signs and symptoms combined with positive urinalysis results frequently trigger antibiotic therapy in frail older adults. However, there is limited evidence about which signs and symptoms indicate urinary tract infection (UTI) in this population. We aimed to find consensus among an international expert panel on which signs and symptoms, commonly attributed to UTI, should and should not lead to antibiotic prescribing in frail older adults, and to integrate these findings into a decision tool for the empiric treatment of suspected UTI in this population.

Design: A Delphi consensus procedure.
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Mrs X, a frail 85-year-old woman, is a “little agitated” and “just not herself today.” After assessing Mrs X, her nurse decides to perform a dipstick test on a urine sample, which is found to be positive for nitrite and leukocyte esterase. Mrs X’s physician is contacted and told of the positive dipstick results. The physician orders a urine culture and begins empiric antibiotic therapy.

This clinical scenario occurs routinely in older adults residing in long-term care facilities (LTCF) and is similarly prevalent in noninstitutionalized frail older adults. Symptoms like “agitation,” “not being himself/herself,” and a broad range of other nonspecific signs and symptoms (S&S) are frequently attributed to urinary tract infections (UTIs). If a dipstick test or urinalysis is positive, this is interpreted as confirming the diagnosis, and antibiotic prescribing often follows.

There are, however, serious concerns regarding this practice. First, many conditions in the frail older patient present atypically, so a broad range of possible causes should be considered when any nonspecific S&S are present. Furthermore, at any time, up to 50% of urine samples from nursing home residents who are not well test positive for nitrite (100% of catheterized patients), and up to 90% for leukocyte esterase. Thus, there is a high a priori likelihood of positive results when performing urine tests (ie, dipstick, urinalysis, or urine culture) in this population. Hence, there is no gold standard to distinguish between symptomatic bacteriuria and true UTI. Consequently, the practice of attributing nonspecific S&S to possible UTI and performing urine tests to confirm this diagnosis promotes inappropriate antibiotic prescribing.

Inappropriate antibiotic prescribing is undesirable both on the patient level, because of potential side effects and drug interactions, and on the societal level because of its contribution to antimicrobial resistance. In a recent guideline-based evaluation of treatment decisions for UTI in Dutch nursing home residents, 32% of antibiotic prescriptions were judged as inappropriate. Other reports describe even higher percentages of inappropriate antibiotic prescribing for presumed UTI, ranging from 35% to 93%.

Minimum criteria for the initiation of antibiotics for UTI in frail older adults have been previously developed. These criteria have in common that they focus on the presence of urinary tract related S&S and do not incorporate nonspecific S&S, whereas these nonspecific S&S commonly trigger a UTI suspicion in practice, as illustrated by the above scenario. However, the role of nonspecific S&S in the diagnosis of UTI in frail older adults remains poorly understood.

This article reports results from an international Delphi process with the following aims: (1) reach expert consensus on which S&S, commonly attributed to UTI in frail older adults, should and should not result in empiric antibiotic prescribing; and (2) produce a practical decision tool for diagnostic evaluation and empiric antibiotic treatment of suspected UTI in frail older adults with and without an indwelling urinary catheter.

Methods

Study Design

A Delphi procedure was performed to reach consensus on antibiotic prescribing for S&S that are attributed to UTI in frail older adults. In this procedure, a group facilitation technique is used to transform expert opinion into group consensus through a series of structured questionnaire rounds. Each questionnaire contains the anonymized results from the previous round(s), and participants are asked to consider these results in their replies in the subsequent questionnaire rounds. Consensus was defined as an agreement level of at least 75%.

Expert Panel

The Delphi moderators (L.B., H.V., C.H.) selected international experts based on their multiple research activities and clinical expertise in UTI in frail older patients. They were invited to participate by e-mail in April 2016. They were also asked whether they had suggestions for other experts whom they believed should be part of the panel. Experts were not informed about the other persons invited for the study or about those participating until completion of the study.

Delphi Rounds

Four Delphi rounds were conducted between May 2016 and March 2017. Questionnaires were prepared by the research team (L.B., H.V., C.H.) and tested for content, clarity, and lay-out by 2 or more members of a pilot panel. This panel consisted of four elderly-care physicians (ie, a medical specialty in The Netherlands focused on care of the frail older patient).

Round 1 and 2: Individual S&S

The research team prepared a list with 38 S&S (Table 1) recognized as being attributed to UTI (defined as cystitis and pyelonephritis) in practice. These S&S were presented to the expert panel in random order in the first round, and grouped into 4 categories in the second round: S&S related to the urinary tract, nonspecific S&S, S&S related to the character of urine, and systemic S&S. Experts were asked to evaluate each sign or symptom individually (ie, regardless of context and the presence of other S&S), for the likelihood that it is caused by UTI (not likely/more unlikely than likely/more likely than unlikely/likely). Specific and general comments relevant to S&S were...
invited, and panel members were asked if there were any other potential S&S that should also be included.

**Round 3 and 4: Clinical scenarios**

In the third and fourth round, combinations of S&S were presented to the panel members and they were asked whether they would prescribe antibiotics empirically for each clinical scenario (yes/no); each question separately asked about men and women. General comments and comments regarding alternative treatment policies were invited for each clinical scenario. The full questionnaire for the third round is presented in Appendix 1. In the fourth Delphi round, 2 additional questions were asked: (1) what best defines urinary tract-related S&S that are relevant in evaluating a possible UTI (“new” or “new or significantly increased” urgency, frequency, incontinence, dysuria, (visible) urethral purulence); and (2) which option best represents the place of dipstick results in a patient presenting with urinary tract related S&S? (it should not influence the treatment decision unless both nitrite and leukocyte esterase are negative/antibiotics should only be prescribed if both nitrite and leukocyte esterase are positive/antibiotics should be prescribed if at least leukocyte esterase is positive/antibiotics should be prescribed if either nitrite or leukocyte esterase is positive). In addition, a preliminary decision tool for the empirical treatment of suspected UTI in frail older adults was provided. The section for the noncatheterized frail older adult was developed by the research team based on the outcomes of the first 3 Delphi rounds. The section for the frail older adult with an indwelling urinary catheter comprised a Delphi study outcome-based adaptation of previously described criteria. Expert panel members were asked to comment on specific elements of the decision tool and on the decision tool in general.

**Development of the Final Decision Tool**

After the fourth Delphi round, the decision tool for the empiric treatment of suspected UTI in frail older adults was adjusted and sent to the expert panel, along with a description of the alterations made to the draft version and the final results of the Delphi questionnaire rounds. Expert panel members were invited to provide any final comments on the adjusted version of the decision tool; agreement was assumed if no comments were received. Final comments of the expert panel members were considered by the research team. The final version of the decision tool for the empiric treatment of UTI in frail older adults with and without an indwelling urinary catheter was sent to the expert panel, together with a description of how the final comments had been addressed.

**Results**

Of 16 invited experts, 15 were willing to participate in the study; one expert did not accept the invitation because of time constraints. One expert suggested an additional expert to invite. This expert was invited and accepted, so the number participating was 16. The mean age was 56 years (range 42–71 years), and there were 5 different nationalities represented (US - 8; The Netherlands - 3; Canada - 2; Sweden – 2; Australia – 1). Medical disciplines represented, with several experts having multiple specialties, were infectious disease specialists (10), internists (6), geriatricians (6), general practitioners (3), elderly care physicians (2), and a medical microbiologist (1). The average number of years of experience as a specialized physician was 24 (range 14–37 years). All experts were experienced in care of frail older patients. Response rates to the 4 Delphi questionnaires were 100%, 88%, 94%, and 88%, respectively.

**First and Second Delphi Round: Individual S&S**

Table 2 presents the combined results of the first 2 Delphi rounds: an overview of consensus on the likelihood that S&S are being caused by UTI. Systemic S&S (ie, fever, rigors/shaking chills, delirium, hypotension, hypothermia, and tachycardia) are not included in the table: experts indicated that these are possibly caused by UTI but there may also be an alternative explanation. Whether these systemic S&S are associated with a UTI depends, therefore, on the presence of other S&S. Experts additionally commented that distinction should be made between “slight” and “moderate to severe” decrease in alertness/consciousness; “no clinical suspicion of delirium” should be added to mental status change symptoms other than delirium; “new” urgency, frequency and incontinence are more likely caused by UTI than “worsening” symptoms; urethral purulence is less likely indicative of UTI in catheterized patients; and hematuria is more likely caused by UTI if it is macroscopic and in patients who do not use oral anticoagulants.
Table 2
Combined Results of the First and Second Delphi Round: Consensus* on the Likelihood that S&S Are Caused by a UTI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S&amp;S related to urinary tract (n = 8)</th>
<th>Consensus on (More) Likely (than Unlikely) Caused by UTI</th>
<th>Consensus on (More) Unlikely (than Likely) Caused by UTI</th>
<th>Proportion S&amp;S for Which Consensus was Reached</th>
<th>No Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;S related to the character of urine (n = 3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cloudy urine, urine color change, urine odor change</td>
<td>3/3 (100%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Consensus was defined as an agreement level of ≥75%.

Third and Fourth Delphi Round: Clinical Scenarios

Table 3 shows the combined results of the third and fourth Delphi round: an overview of consensus on antibiotic prescribing for clinical scenarios. Consensus was reached on not prescribing antibiotics for 8 clinical scenarios and on antibiotic prescribing for 5. The consensus level was not reached in the remaining 5 clinical scenarios, but there was a tendency toward antibiotic prescribing in 4 of these. The results are described for men and women combined, as there were no gender-based differences in consensus levels.

Considering the definition of urinary tract related S&S relevant to the evaluation of a possible UTI, 8 out of 14 experts preferred "new" over "new or significantly increased" urgency, frequency, incontinence, dysuria, and (visible) urethral purulence. Further, 13 out of 14 the experts stated that dipstick results should not influence the treatment decision in a patient presenting with urinary tract related

Table 3
Combined Results of the Third and Fourth Delphi Round; Consensus* on Antibiotic Prescribing for Clinical Presentations of S&S in Which it was Assumed that UTI was Not Ruled out by Additional Diagnostics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Presentations with Consensus on Antibiotic Non-prescribing</th>
<th>Clinical Presentations with Consensus on Antibiotic Prescribing</th>
<th>Clinical Presentations without Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One nonsevere UTI-specific S&amp;S, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>One very bothersome UTI-specific S&amp;S, with non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>One very bothersome UTI-specific S&amp;S, without any other S&amp;S (97% would prescribe +7% if no other cause could be found)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopic hematuria, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S, and whether the patient takes oral anti-coagulants</td>
<td>At least 3 UTI-specific S&amp;S, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>Two UTI-specific S&amp;S, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S (71% would prescribe +21% only if moderate/severe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate pain, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>UTI-specific S&amp;S, with new costovertebral angle pain/tenderness</td>
<td>New costovertebral angle pain/tenderness with systemic S&amp;S (71% would prescribe +7% depending on the severity of the S&amp;S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New costovertebral angle pain/tenderness, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>UTI-specific S&amp;S, with new suprapubic pain</td>
<td>New suprapubic pain with systemic S&amp;S (57% would not prescribe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New suprapubic pain, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>New costovertebral angle pain/tenderness with systemic S&amp;S and non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>Urinary retention with UTI-specific S&amp;S (69% would prescribe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New scrotum pain, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>Urinary retention, irrespective of the presence of non-specific S&amp;S</td>
<td>One or more non-specific S&amp;S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Consensus was defined as an agreement level of ≥75%.

1UTI-specific S&S, after the first 2 Delphi rounds defined as dysuria, new urgency, new frequency, new incontinence, and (visible) urethral purulence.
2Non-specific S&S, after the first 2 Delphi rounds defined as agitation/aggression (new or worsening), decreased dietary intake, decreased fluid intake, decreased functional status/ADL, decreased mobility, decreased urinary output, diarrhea, dizziness (new or worsening), fatigue (new or worsening), general lack of well-being, malaise, mental status change without a clinical suspicion of delirium, nausea (with or without vomiting), nocturia, syncope, and weakness (new or worsening).
S&S, unless both nitrite and leukocyte esterase are negative, which rules out a UTI.

**Decision Tool for the Empiric Treatment of Suspected UTI in Frail Older Adults**

Table 4 describes how the research team dealt with nonconsensus items in the adjusted version of the decision tool. Additional alterations to the draft version based on expert comment were the inclusion of alternative treatment advice in situations where antibiotics should not be prescribed, the adjustment of the definition of systemic S&S, the adoption of the Infectious Diseases Society of America definition for fever in LTCF residents, the inclusion of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV definition for delirium, the inclusion of the advice to obtain urine cultures in situations when antibiotics should be prescribed, the addition that fever should be present for at least 24 hours in frail older patients with an indwelling urinary catheter, the inclusion of advice regarding urinary catheter removal or replacement, and the addition that delirium in catheterized patients should only result in antibiotic prescribing if urinary retention is excluded as a possible cause. The final version of the decision tool for the empiric treatment of suspected UTI in frail older adults with and without an indwelling urinary catheter is presented in Figure 1A and B.

**Discussion**

We describe a Delphi procedure in which 4 consecutive questionnaire rounds resulted in the development of a consensus-based decision tool for the empiric treatment of suspected UTI in frail older adults. The most notable study findings were that the vast majority of nonspecific S&S should be evaluated for other causes instead of being attributed to UTI and that urinalysis should not influence treatment decisions unless both nitrite and leukocyte esterase are negative. Implementation of the decision tool has the potential to improve diagnostic evaluation and treatment for suspected UTI in the frail older patient and may contribute to better management of nonspecific S&S and more appropriate antibiotic use in this population. This would fit well into antibiotic stewardship programs, which aim at promoting prudent antibiotic use and are increasingly being established in LTCFs. Prudent antibiotic use is beneficial for individual patients, to reduce unnecessary exposure to side effects and drug interactions, but even more importantly in the light of the worldwide emergence of antimicrobial resistance.

Because of high prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and pyuria in the frail older patient, the Delphi expert panel emphasized the importance of only using urinalysis to rule out UTI in the presence of S&S consistent with this infection. This is reflected in the decision tool (section for noncatheterized frail older adults), by placing urinalysis at the end of the diagnostic process in situations where antibiotic prescribing may be justified based on clinical assessment. The decision tool also indicates that it is not helpful to use a urine dipstick or urinalysis to “screen” for UTI in patients with nonspecific S&S, as these should not result in antibiotic prescribing for UTI even if the urinalysis results are abnormal.

One may argue that a scenario not covered by the decision tool is a patient with fever and no other S&S. In current practice, a dipstick test or urinalysis may be performed to identify UTI as a possible cause for...

---

**Table 4**

Description of How Nonconsensus Items after the Fourth Round Delphi Questionnaire Were Processed by the Research Team in the Adjusted Version of the Decision Tool for the Empiric Treatment of UTI in Frail Older Adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonconsensus Items</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should antibiotics be prescribed if there was one very bothersome of the following recent-onset of S&amp;S: dysuria, urgency, frequency, incontinence, (visible) urethral purulence?</td>
<td>Antibiotics should be only prescribed if there is no other cause</td>
<td>The majority (57%) of panel members would prescribe antibiotics in case of one very bothersome of these S&amp;S. 64% if also an expert is considered who would only prescribe if no other cause could be found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should there be a minimum of 2 or 3 urinary tract related S&amp;S to proceed to antibiotic prescribing?</td>
<td>A minimum of 2 urinary tract related S&amp;S justifies antibiotic prescribing</td>
<td>The majority (71%) of panel members would prescribe antibiotics in case of 2 urinary tract related S&amp;S. 93% if also the experts that would conditionally prescribe are considered (the conditions being moderate or severe signs and symptoms).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should costovertebral angle pain/tenderness result in antibiotic prescribing if combined with systemic S&amp;S?</td>
<td>Costovertebral angle pain/tenderness combined with systemic S&amp;S justifies antibiotic prescribing</td>
<td>The majority (71%) of panel members would prescribe antibiotics in case of costovertebral angle pain/tenderness combined with systemic S&amp;S. 78% if also an expert is considered who would prescribe if the systemic S&amp;S would include fever with hypotenension and tachycardia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should suprapubic pain result in antibiotic prescribing if combined with systemic S&amp;S?</td>
<td>Suprapubic pain combined with systemic S&amp;S does not justify antibiotic prescribing</td>
<td>The majority (57%) of panel members would not prescribe antibiotics for possible UTI in case of suprapubic pain combined with systemic S&amp;S. Four experts pointed at the non-specific nature of suprapubic pain and the importance of evaluating for other causes (which could be a different infectious source justifying antibiotic prescribing).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should antibiotics be prescribed in case of urinary retention combined with one or more of the following recent-onset S&amp;S: dysuria, urgency, frequency, incontinence, (visible) urethral purulence?</td>
<td>Antibiotics should be prescribed in case there are 2 or more localizing S&amp;S or one very bothersome localizing S&amp;S with no other cause</td>
<td>The listed urinary tract related S&amp;S should be guiding here. not the urinary retention, to decisions on antibiotic prescribing for these S&amp;S – resulting from the Delphi procedure – apply here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should urinary tract related S&amp;S relevant in evaluating a possible UTI be defined as “new” or “newly significantly increased”?</td>
<td>Only &quot;new&quot; urinary tract related S&amp;S are considered in evaluating a possible UTI. replaced as &quot;of recent onset&quot;</td>
<td>The majority (57%) of panel members had a preference for &quot;new&quot; over &quot;newly significantly increased.&quot; In addition, 3 panel members commented that the term &quot;significantly increased&quot; is ambiguous (ie, can differ between persons).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Consensus was defined as an agreement level of >75%.*
A

URINARY TRACT INFECTION SUSPECTED

RECENT ONSET OF dysuria, urgency, frequency, incontinence, (visible) urethral purulence?

yes Systemic sign(s)/ symptom(s)?

no Costovertebral angle pain/ tenderness of RECENT ONSET?

yes Systemic sign(s)/ symptom(s) AND no other infectious focus

no PRESCRIBE ANTIBIOTICS (UNLESS urinalysis shows negative nitrite AND negative leukocyte esterase)

no Costovertebral angle pain/ tenderness and/or suprapubic pain

yes NO ANTIBIOTICS for urinary tract infection

ONE OR MORE of the following signs & symptoms, REGARDLESS OF URINALYSIS RESULTS:

URINE: change in urine color, change in urine odor, cloudy urine, macroscopic hematuria (regardless of oral anti-coagulant use)

UGERGENTAL: nocturia, decreased urinary output, prostate pain, scrotum pain, suprapubic pain, urinary retention

MENTAL: agitation/aggression (new/worsening), mental status change without a clinical suspicion of delirium, general lack of well-being

GASTROINTESTINAL: decreased fluid intake, decreased dietary intake, diarrhea, nausea (with or without vomiting)

OTHER: malaise, fatigue (new/worsening), weakness (new/worsening), dizziness (new/worsening), syncope, decreased functional status/ADL, decreased mobility

*Presence of at least two (i.e., a single oral temperature ≥37.8°C OR repeated oral temperatures ≥37.2°C OR rectal temperature ≥37.0°C OR a 1.1°C increase over the baseline temperature; signs/shaking chills and/or clear cut delirium)

**Definition of delirium according to DSM-5: A) Disturbance in attention (i.e., reduced ability to direct, focus, sustain, and shift attention) and awareness (reduced orientation to the environment). B) The disturbance develops over a short period of time (usually hours to a few days), represents an acute change from baseline attention and awareness, and tends to fluctuate in severity during the course of a day. C) An additional disturbance in cognition (e.g., memory deficit, disorientation, language, visuospatial ability, or perception). D) The disturbances in Criteria A and C are not better explained by a pre-existing, established or evolving neurocognitive disorder and do not occur in the context of a severely reduced level of arousal such as coma. E) There is evidence from the history, physical examination or laboratory findings that the disturbance is a direct physiological consequence of another medical condition, substance intoxication or withdrawal (i.e., due to a drug of abuse or to a medication), or exposure to a toxin, or is due to multiple etiologies.

1 E.g. monitoring vital signs, paying attention to hydration status and repeated physical assessments by nursing home staff.

Fig. 1. (A) Decision tool for the empiric treatment of suspected UTI in frail older adults without an indwelling urinary catheter. (B) Decision tool for the empiric treatment of suspected UTI in frail older adults with an indwelling urinary catheter.

The fever. Here as well, we believe that a dipstick test or urinalysis should not be used after a study found that only 1 out of 10 patients with fever and bacteriuria will have a UTI. Therefore, if fever persists and no infectious source can be identified based on the results of other diagnostic tests such as a chest film, antibiotics may be prescribed for “nonfocal infection,” but not for a UTI based on dipstick or urinalysis results. Hence, fever (like other systemic S&Cs) is not included as a single item in the decision tool, as it is considered only relevant for the evaluation of a possible UTI if combined with other localizing S&Cs (i.e., dysuria, urgency, frequency, incontinence, urethral purulence) or costovertebral angle pain/tenderness.

Similar to dipstick tests and urinalysis, urine cultures should not be used to rule-in a UTI diagnosis, as positive urine culture results often indicate asymptomatic bacteriuria in frail older patients without localizing S&Cs. Instead, the value of a urine culture is to guide the choice of antibiotic therapy in cases where treatment is indicated based on the clinical presentation. It is recommended that a urine culture be obtained before the start of antibiotic treatment, and to discontinue antibiotic treatment if the culture is subsequently negative, or switch to an appropriate drug based on antibiotic sensitivity testing (i.e., the narrowest-spectrum drug for which the causative micro-organism is sensitive, while taking into account the patient’s renal function, potential drug interactions, and medication allergies).

The decision tool developed in the current study is unique in its incorporation of a broad range of nonspecific S&Cs that are known to trigger a UTI suspicion in practice. The widely known McGeer criteria...
(1991), in 2012 revised by Stone et al, and Loeb criteria (2005) focus on the presence of UTI specific S&S but do not give guidance with regard to the role of nonspecific S&S. The same is true for the criteria incorporated in the “suspected UTI SBAR” form developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. A decision tool developed by Cmich and Drinika shows several similarities with the tool developed in the current study. For example, it provides advice regarding diagnostic evaluation in situations where nonspecific S&S trigger the UTI suspicion, and it discourages urinalysis if only nonspecific S&S are present. Differences include that the decision tool developed in the current study specifies specific and nonspecific S&S, and that urinalysis is advised at a later stage in the diagnostic process.

Strengths and Limitations

A strength of the current study is that we included a panel of experts who are leading UTI research in frail older patients, as demonstrated by publications and other research activities relevant to this topic. In addition, all panel members are physicians with clinical expertise in the diagnosis and treatment of UTI in this population. Another strength is that the response rate remained high over the 4 questionnaire rounds. Finally, the anonymous nature of the Delphi procedure facilitated equal input of all participants, which is an advantage over regular consensus procedures where dominant individuals may have more influence in the decision-making process. A limitation of the study is that the consensus level of >75% was not reached on antibiotic prescribing for 5 clinical scenarios, although agreement levels were close to the consensus threshold in 3 of these (ie, 69–71%). The study moderators motivated their decision to follow majority opinion in these cases to the expert panel, and concluded acceptance based on the invited responses of panel members to these decisions. Another limitation is that we did not define “frail older adults” in the questionnaire rounds. There is discussion about the concept of frailty in the literature, with definitions varying from the one developed by Fried to the one developed by Rockwood. The patient population that the study moderators (L.B., H.V., C.H.) had in mind include older adults with increased vulnerability, multiple conditions simultaneously, and increased healthcare needs. There may be concerns that this differs from the population that the expert panel members had in mind when completing the questionnaires. However, on retrospective inquiry, all panel members indicated that the study moderators’ description of the population corresponds with the population they had in mind when participating in the questionnaire rounds.

Implications for Research and Practice

In current practice, antibiotics are frequently prescribed for clinical scenarios that according to the decision tool developed in this study do not justify antibiotic treatment. A previous study found that the implementation of a diagnostic and treatment algorithm for UTI reduced antibiotic use in LTCF. In line with these findings, we hypothesize that implementation of the current decision tool will result in reduced inappropriate antibiotic use and more attention for other possible causes for nonspecific S&S in the frail older patient. Future studies are needed to test this hypothesis both in community-dwelling and institutionalized frail older adults. In addition, the applicability of the decision tool to older patients with advanced dementia or other severe cognitive impairments should be evaluated, as these patients often have problems expressing S&S.

The decision tool is intended to support clinical decision-making regarding antibiotic prescribing for suspected UTI, and should be used in addition to clinical judgment. Although the most common clinical scenarios are covered by the decision tool, physicians should be aware that a few clinical scenarios may not be covered. For example, a patient with a fever, localized S&S, and a dipstick negative for both nitrite and leukocyte esterase may have an obstructed pyelonephritis and antimicrobial therapy pending further investigations may be appropriate.

Conclusions

A Delphi process with an international expert panel resulted in the development of a consensus-based decision tool for the empiric treatment of suspected UTI in frail older adults with and without an indwelling urinary catheter. The implementation and use of this decision tool in practice should be evaluated for different subgroups of frail older adults, including cognitively impaired individuals. Successful implementation of the decision tool has the potential to improve diagnostic evaluation and treatment of suspected UTI in the frail older patient and may promote more appropriate antibiotic use and more attention for other causes of nonspecific S&S in this population.
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