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The cost of providing care to critically ill patients in the United States consumes roughly 15% of all health care dollars, or 1% of the gross national product. Contributing to this economic burden are patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) who require mechanical ventilation and patients with complications from their dependence on this technology. In fact, 50% of ICU patients receive mechanical ventilation.

Patient-Ventilator Dyssynchrony
Clinical Significance and Implications for Practice

Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony can prolong mechanical ventilation and hospital stay, and is common yet underappreciated in critically ill patients. Sedation is a common solution for managing dysynchrony, but it may not always be the best answer for all types of dyssynchrony.

Often, sedation is required to increase patients’ tolerance of the endotracheal tube, reduce anxiety, and facilitate sleep. In particular, sedation is used frequently to reduce patient-ventilator dyssynchrony (PVD). Sassoon and Foster define PVD as a mismatching between the patient’s breaths (neural) and ventilator-assisted breaths (phase asynchrony), as well as the inability of the ventilator’s flow delivery to match the patient’s flow demand (flow asynchrony). This definition suggests a faulty interaction between the patient and ventilator that is commonly managed by sedation and advanced ventilator modes and adjustments. The correction of PVD is complex and multifaceted given the current capabilities of traditional ventilators. An imperfect solution exists because the sensitivity and responsiveness of both the patient and the ventilator during the interaction is confounded by factors related to the patient and the ventilator. However, in light of the most serious complications...
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Factors Affecting Patient-
Ventilator Interaction

Patient-ventilator interaction is influenced by factors related to the patient (respiratory center output, respiratory system mechanisms, disease states or conditions, artificial airway) and factors related to the ventilator (ventilator triggering, ventilator cycling off).

Patient-Related Factors

Achieving patient-ventilator synchrony during interactive modes of ventilation (assist control [AC] and synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation [SIMV]) is a daunting task because patients’ ventilation is controlled by mechanical, chemical, behavioral, and reflex mechanisms that are highly dynamic. These factors can disrupt the patient-ventilator interface because the ventilator responds to the patient’s inspiratory and expiratory signals, which affect pressure and flow in the ventilator circuit.20 Given patients’ dynamic conditions during critical illness, patient-related factors such as respiratory center output, respiratory mechanics, disease states or conditions, and endotracheal tube type or size influence the patient-ventilator interaction (Table 1).

Respiratory Center Output. The patient’s respiratory center output can produce a decreased or increased drive that may contribute to the development of PVD. Respiratory drive is dependent on both voluntary and autonomic control. Voluntary control is operationalized through the cerebral cortex (forceful inspiration/expiration, breath holding) and thalamus (emotional behaviors associated with intense feelings, fear, pain, anger, sorrow).21 Autonomic control is operationalized by the brainstem, with the pneumotaxic and apneustic respiratory centers in the pons and 2 neuronal groups, called the dorsal (inspiratory) and ventral (inspiratory/expiration) respiratory groups, located in the medulla.22 Afferent neurotransmissions from the body are communi-
cated to the medullary neuronal groups from multiple receptors throughout the body. Table 2 describes these receptors specifically and their influence on the autonomic control of respiratory drive. Once the medulla receives impulses, the inspiratory and expi-
atory centers respond by determin-
ing whether inspiration or expiration should be stimulated or inhibited. The pneumotaxic center of the pons fine-tunes the rhythmicity of the ventilatory drive, and the efferent message is sent to the phrenic and intercostal nerves to stimulate or inhibit ventilation.21

If respiratory drive is decreased, the ventilator may not be able to respond to the reduced effort, espe-
ically if the clinician does not preset the ventilator’s sensitivity at a level that will detect the patient’s effort.10 A reduced drive can be caused by sedatives, opioids, and hypnotics. Sedatives, opioids, and sleep all increase the time delay between the start of the patient’s inspiratory effort and ventilator triggering.20

Metabolic states of alkalosis, sleep
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deprivation, severe hypothyroidism, and bilateral injury of the mid to lower medulla can decrease respiratory drive, thereby influencing the patient-ventilator interaction. If a patient’s respiratory drive increases vigorously, however, the duration of inspiratory drive may become longer than ventilator inflation time, causing the ventilator to trigger more than once (double triggering). An increased respiratory drive can cause the patient to need more flow from the ventilator. If the preset flow does not meet patient demand, flow dyssynchrony can occur. An increased respiratory output can be caused by increased chemoreceptor stimulation, pain, psychogenic alteration, medications, and increased ventilatory demand, metabolic rate, and workload.

**Respiratory System Mechanics.** A patient’s respiratory mechanics can contribute to dyssynchrony. The patient may have a prolonged inspiratory time. If inspiratory time is longer than the ventilator’s preset inspiratory time, the patient may take an additional breath because the need for ventilation has not been met, thereby causing double triggering.

On the other hand, the patient may have a shortened exhalation time that increases the amount of

---

**Table 1** Patient- and ventilator-related factors that affect patient-ventilator interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient-related factors</th>
<th>Ventilator-related factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiratory center output</strong></td>
<td><strong>Triggering</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Factors that may decrease respiratory drive:</td>
<td>1. Ineffective trigger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedative, opioid, hypnotic medications</td>
<td>Excessive intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level of ventilatory assistance</td>
<td>Delayed termination dyssynchrony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic alkalosis</td>
<td>Maladjusted sensitivity level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malnutrition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep deprivation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hypothyroidism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic central hypoventilation syndrome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe bilateral brainstem injury of mid to lower medulla</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Factors that may increase respiratory drive</td>
<td>2. Auto-cycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemoreceptor stimulation (hypoxemia, hypercapnia, acidosis states)</td>
<td>Maladjusted sensitivity level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased ventilatory demand from</td>
<td>Excessive water in circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased metabolic states (pain, fever, shivering, overfeeding, sepsis, burns, trauma, hyperthyroidism, metabolic acidosis)</td>
<td>Air leaks in endotracheal tube cuff, ventilator circuit, chest tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Underlying lung disease</td>
<td>Cardiac oscillations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased workload (weaning, stimuli that increase demand)</td>
<td>3. Double triggering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain, increased psychogenic stimuli or agitation</td>
<td>Premature termination dyssynchrony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications (theophylline, doxapram, acetazolamide)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Respiratory system mechanics</strong></th>
<th><strong>Cycling off</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged patient inspiratory time</td>
<td>1. Inappropriately set cycling variable for patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortened patient expiratory time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak respiratory muscles, poor neuromuscular control, wean from high assist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Disease states and conditions</strong></th>
<th><strong>Ventilator causes of patient agitation</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Obstructive pulmonary disease, dynamic hyperinflation states causing increased intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure</td>
<td>1. Ventilator disconnection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Acute respiratory distress syndrome</td>
<td>2. System leak, circuit malfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pain, splinting</td>
<td>3. Inadequate fraction of inspired oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Body posture, abdominal distention</td>
<td>4. Inadequate ventilator support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Psychogenic behavioral stimuli causes agitation from</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pulmonary edema, pulmonary emboli, pneumothorax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bronchospasm, retained airway secretions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intensive care unit environment, fear, anxiety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Artificial airway in place</strong></th>
<th><strong>Dead space</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Size, shape, narrowing of lumen by secretions</td>
<td>1. Increased dead space in circuit causes increased work load and work of breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cuff leak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Disconnection from circuit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
intrinsic positive pressure at the end of expiration (PEEPi, auto-PEEP) because all volume has not been exhaled. This leads to dynamic hyperinflation causing the patient to breathe with high lung volumes and high elastic recoil pressures. The excess pressure on the alveoli at the end of expiration (auto-PEEP) causes increased workload for the patient’s diaphragm. Auto-PEEP is a common cause of failure-to-trigger PVD because the patient must overcome excess auto-PEEP by dropping intrathoracic pressure through muscular effort of sufficient magnitude to be sensed by the ventilator.

It is also important to consider the strength of the diaphragm and accessory muscles. Muscular strength may be decreased because of diaphragm deconditioning (prolonged ventilator assistance, physical immobility), malnutrition, and neuromuscular disease. Weakened respiratory muscles reduce the ability to meet the threshold necessary to initiate a ventilated breath during interactive modes. Patients’ underlying diseases and conditions can lead to PVD. For example, patients with obstructive lung disease or high ventilatory demand and patients receiving inverse-ratio ventilation or airway pressure release ventilation can have dynamic hyperinflation. Dynamic hyperinflation moves the diaphragm to a flattened, less domelike configuration, which limits the patient’s ability to generate a forceful inspiration and creates a greater trigger threshold to overcome, leading to failed efforts. Pain and splinting from surgical or other sources may reduce a patient’s inspiratory effort and contribute to PVD. Pain and splinting from surgical or other sources may reduce a patient’s inspiratory effort and contribute to PVD.12

In addition, psychogenic mechanisms from agitation, fear, and stress may contribute to PVD because of resulting tachypnea and reduced mental capacity from overriding anxiety. Disease and ventilator-related factors can also agitate patients (Table 1). Agitation in patients who are receiving mechanical ventilation can be exacerbated by many factors, such as disease states (pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, pneumothorax), body posture, abdominal distension, retained airway secretions, pain, and bronchospasm. Last, the environment can be the source of noxious stimuli such as excessive noise, light, or physical stimulation that may contribute to the patient’s stress response, thereby increasing anxiety and possible agitation.

Table 2 Receptors and their effect on autonomic respiratory drive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Peripheral chemoreceptors</th>
<th>Pulmonary stretch receptors</th>
<th>Irritant receptors</th>
<th>Pulmonary juxtapulmonary capillary receptors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>Aortic arch</td>
<td>Airway smooth muscle</td>
<td>Epithelium of nose, pharynx, larynx, trachea, bronchi</td>
<td>Pulmonary capillary walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carotid bodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>Hypoxemia</td>
<td>Lung inflation</td>
<td>Inhaled irritants</td>
<td>Pulmonary congestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acidosis</td>
<td>Increased pulmonary pressures</td>
<td>Mechanical factors: Anaphylaxis</td>
<td>Increased interstitial fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypercapnia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary congestion</td>
<td>Chemical irritants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent to medulla by</td>
<td>Vagus and glossopharyngeal nerves</td>
<td>Vagus nerve</td>
<td>Vagus nerve</td>
<td>Vagus nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect on respiratory drive</td>
<td>If acidic or hypoxic: Increased rate and tidal volume</td>
<td>Increased inspiratory time Increased rate</td>
<td>Hyperpnea Cough Laryngospasm</td>
<td>Rapid, shallow breathing Hypoventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If alkalotic: Decreased rate and tidal volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on data from Kacmarek et al.21

Artificial Airway in Place. Finally, the size and type of airway may contribute to PVD. An endotracheal tube of inadequate diameter may increase resistance and limit flow for a patient with high ventilatory demand. The internal diameter of the endotracheal tube can be reduced...
by accumulation of secretions and debris that can markedly increase airway resistance. The patient-ventilator interaction is dependent on the patient’s ability to overcome this resistance and the amount of ventilator assistance.

### Ventilator-Related Factors

Synchronous patient-ventilator interaction requires a ventilator to be sensitive to respiratory efforts and responsive to airflow demand. Two major factors contributing to PVD are ventilator triggering (signal opens inspiratory valve) and cycling (signal opens expiratory valve at end inspiration).

#### Ventilator Triggering

The ventilator should ideally respond to a patient’s inspiratory effort immediately. The sensitivity trigger is typically set to be stimulated on the basis of pressure, flow, or time. Unfortunately, in some situations, the sensitivity level may be set too low to sense the patient’s effort. In this situation, the patient’s effort may be wasted and not trigger a breath, which may lead to increased respiratory muscle loading. A prolongation of the trigger phase may also occur because of errors in the ventilator’s pressure transducer, the ventilator’s delay in sampling pressure signals, the duration of time from onset of diaphragm contraction to actual decrease in airway pressure, the duration of time from decrease of airway pressure to be sensed by the ventilator, and the duration of time from when the valve is signaled to when flow reaches the airway circuit. These factors generate phase dyssynchrony.

In phase dyssynchrony, a lag (termed delay time) occurs between the time when the ventilator first senses the trigger and the time when the ventilator responds by delivering gas flow. When the inspiration trigger is driven by a change in pressure (pressure trigger), the delay time by most commercial ventilators may reach 110 to 120 milliseconds before gas flows into the patient’s circuit. If the inspiratory trigger delay becomes too prolonged, the patient may try to increase his inspiratory efforts. Therefore to avoid trigger dyssynchrony, it is best for clinicians to set the shortest trigger delay time, which will minimize patients’ efforts to trigger an inspiration in interactive modes.

#### Newer Ventilator Modes to Improve Ventilator Triggering

Traditional ventilators can only measure and respond to patient airway pressures at the airway opening and flow downstream on the expiratory limb of the ventilator circuit. The greater the distance from the central nervous system’s respiratory center that the ventilator senses the trigger drive, the greater the potential for PVD. New, experimental techniques offer different ways and sites to sense
and respond to patients’ inspiratory signals. Proportional assist ventilation enhances synchrony by using a feedback mechanism to “amplify airway pressure proportionally to inspiratory flow and volume.”24(p26) This mechanism enables the ventilator to track changes in the patient’s ventilatory effort, thereby resulting in a more physiological breathing pattern.24 Other factors such as air leaks and water in the ventilator tubing can dampen the signal being sent from the patient to the ventilator during this interaction.11

Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist senses the diaphragm’s electric stimulation signal through an esophageal probe on the end of a nasogastric tube that sits close to the diaphragm.26 The signal is amplified, filtered, and processed by the ventilator’s software to then generate adjusted ventilation pressures specific to the patient’s initiated diaphragmatic output.27

Methods that are used less frequently may also be effective. Pdi-driven servoventilation adjusts ventilated pressure breaths in response to the patient’s transdiaphragmatic pressures (Pdi).27 This ventilator is triggered through the Pdi or a preset flow threshold, whichever is generated first. Inspiration ends when the inspiratory flow reaches a preset threshold.27 Shape-signal or flow-shape triggering28 depends on a distorted expiratory waveform that is generated when the patient initiates a breath in order to sense a patient’s inspiratory trigger. The ventilator algorithm generates a new flow signal that is offset from the patient’s actual flow by 0.25 L/s and delays it for 300 milliseconds, thereby allowing the signal to lag behind the patient’s actual flow rate so that, once the patient initiates a breath, the sudden decrease in expiratory flow will cross the generated shape signal to initiate a ventilated breath.20

**Ventilator Cycling Off.** Cycling off terminates the mechanical breath harmoniously when the patient desires to end inspiration and begin exhalation.20 Moving from inspiration to expiration on the ventilator is operationalized through volume, pressure, flow, or time cycling. To achieve this, clinicians preset ventilator settings of (a) target volumes, (b) peak inspiratory pressures, (c) peak flow rates/flow shape signal, or (d) inspiratory time limit or changes in inspiratory:expiratory ratio.22 The settings to obtain perfect synchrony between the end of inspiration and beginning of expiration, however, are not ideal. Usually termination of ventilator flow occurs either before or after the patient stops inspiratory effort.15

**Premature Termination.** If a mechanical breath is terminated before the patient desires (premature termination), the patient continues to contract inspiratory muscles, allowing pressure to overcome elastic recoil and resulting in the ability to meet the trigger threshold and initiate a new breath, called double triggering.20 Premature termination of ventilator flow causes excessive inspiratory muscle work into and during the expiratory phase and an overestimation of respiratory rate.12 Premature termination of ventilator flow causes excessive inspiratory muscle work into and during the expiratory phase and an overestimation of respiratory rate.12,20 Nurses should be able to identify double triggering on the ventilator’s pressure-time waveform (Figure 1) and recognize that double triggering may be a cause of increased respiratory frequency.

**Delayed Termination.** On the other hand, if the mechanical breath does not terminate when the patient’s muscular inspiration is complete (delayed termination), the time for exhalation is limited and expiratory workload and sometimes auto-PEEP increase, resulting in possible ineffective and/or failed trigger on the following breath.17,18,29 Delayed termination causes patients to resist or “fight” incoming ventilator flow by using their expiratory muscles. This
resistance results in increased expiratory load and excessive PEEPi, thereby leading to possible pneumothoraces, barotrauma, and altered cerebral blood flow. Indeed, the perfect maneuver would be to program a ventilator breath-by-breath on the basis of a patient’s inspiratory time. Such a feature is currently not available on any ventilator, except for the Servo-i ventilator with the option of neurally adjusted ventilatory assist by Maquet, Inc, that was recently released commercially.

A second effect of delayed termination results in ineffective triggering after the breath. If ineffective triggering occurs during exhalation, inspiratory muscles will be contracting when they would normally be lengthening (pliometric contraction), which has caused ultrastructural damage to muscle fibers and reduced strength in animal models. Although not studied in human models, muscle injury from ineffective triggering may prolong weaning.

Patient-ventilator interaction is complex and dynamic, making the ideal interface elusive. Despite the advantage of interactive ventilator modes in promoting greater involvement of patients and limiting atrophy of respiratory muscles, a consequence is PVD. MacIntyre and Branson state that ventilators should be sensitive to the patient’s ventilatory effort and responsive to the patient’s demands.

**Prevalence of PVD**

PVD, a mismatch of respiratory cycling and flow of ventilation between the ventilator and the patient, occurs frequently and is underappreciated. Thille et al found a high prevalence of dyssynchrony in 62 patients intubated longer than 24 hours, and those patients with the most dyssynchrony had a longer duration of mechanical ventilation. Indeed, 24% of this sample experienced a significant amount of PVD, which was observed within 30 minutes of data collection. The most frequent types were ineffective triggering and double trigger with males and patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease experiencing more ineffective triggering. Use of continuous sedation during mechanical ventilation may prolong hospital stay and influence the prevalence of PVD. Because the assessment of sedation level is not precise, oversedation and undersedation are possible. A variety of approaches, such as daily interruption of sedation (“daily awakening trials”) or intermittent dosing of sedative and opioid medications can reduce the likelihood of excessive sedation. However, the use of daily awakening trials may aggravate PVD as patients become more alert, potentially placing high-risk patients in jeopardy for complications.

On the other extreme of the sedation scale, de Wit et al conducted a small study of 20 patients receiving mechanical ventilation and reported that the incidence of ineffective triggering was significantly correlated with lower scores (ie, patients more deeply sedated) on the Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale (RASS). This finding suggests that highly sedated patients may reduce their respiratory drive so much that they become ineffective in generating an inspiratory trigger sufficient to initiate a ventilated breath.

**Consequences of PVD**

Patient-ventilator synchrony is important for achieving optimal oxygenation and ventilation. Tobin et al state that patient-ventilator interaction is a major factor in determining how much respiratory muscle unloading can be completed by the machine, and the most effective unloading occurs when the patient and ventilator are synchronous. Achieving synchrony during interactive ventilator modes requires ventilator sensitivity and responsiveness to the patient’s demands, which is not always possible with current ventilators. Potential outcomes of patient-ventilator synchrony include prevention of hypocapnia, decreased sensation of dyspnea, reduced ineffective or wasted respiratory efforts, and reduced likelihood of periodic breaths during sleep. In addition, prolonged mechanical ventilation and longer hospital stay due to continuous sedation may be avoided.

The resulting unbalanced breathing pattern from PVD can lead to hypoxemia, increased workload on respiratory muscles, cardiovascular compromise, and discomfort. In addition, evidence indicates that PVD may result in respiratory muscle injury. In 1 study, patients with PVD had a longer duration of mechanical ventilation, whereas other reports have been unclear. Other specific effects and outcomes of PVD over time are not well known or documented. Because these consequences may aggravate an already existing critical illness and possibly increase ventilator-related morbidity, nurses should establish the goal of achieving patient-ventilator synchrony. To achieve these goals,
nurses must learn how to analyze graphic waveforms for PVD, observe for manifestations of PVD such as those listed in Table 3, investigate and prevent possible causes of PVD, and work with the collaborative team to optimize ventilator settings, sedative titration, and psychosocial support to patients.

**Measurement/Identification of PVD**

**Types of Dyssynchrony and Contributing Factors**

Categorization of types of dysynchrony may enable clinicians to identify PVD more readily and accurately. Nilsestuen and Hargett describe 4 major types of PVD that can be conceptualized within the different phases of a patient’s assisted ventilated breath (Table 4).

**Trigger Dyssynchrony.** The first phase of a ventilator-assisted breath is its initiation. The factors that influence this stage include patient factors (having an adequate respiratory drive and inspiratory effort) and ventilator factors (having adequate responsiveness to detect the signal-pressure or flow, ability to reach a pressure maximum, appropriately set trigger levels/adequate delay time, and ability to pressurize the circuit). To avoid trigger dyssynchrony, these factors must be functional. Trigger dyssynchrony is a common category of PVD, and its types can range from failure to trigger to auto-triggering.

Failure to trigger occurs when the ventilator does not sense the pressure or flow trigger. This situation is the result of a poor respiratory drive or excessive PEEPi that prevents the patient’s effort from being sent to the ventilator’s sensor. Excess hyperinflation causes a larger pressure gradient, and the patient cannot usually overcome the trigger threshold. Therefore, the ventilator does not generate flow for the patient’s initiated breath. This same situation occurs in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or when trigger levels are not adjusted appropriately by clinicians.

---

### Table 3 Markers of patient-ventilator dyssynchrony

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological markers</th>
<th>Behavioral markers</th>
<th>Patients’ reports of breathing with the ventilator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure/flow-time waveforms(^{12,14})</td>
<td>Paradoxical thoracoabdominal breaths(^{12})</td>
<td>Difficulty with sensations of altered ventilation: “Even on the ventilator, the rate didn’t bother me, it was the force of air”(^ {39})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia(^ {12,41})</td>
<td>Nasal flaring(^ {12})</td>
<td>Difficulty breathing independently (forced to adapt to the machine): “Sometimes, it’s too fast for you. So instead of the machine synchronizing with you, you have to synchronize with the machine”(^ {39})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachypnea(^ {12,42})</td>
<td>Expiratory muscle activity, forceful exhalation(^ {12,41})</td>
<td>Realized if they relaxed, their experience was easier: “I never had a feeling of suffocation like I thought I would if I became out of sync with the ventilator”(^ {39})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased oxygen saturation(^ {12})</td>
<td>Inspiratory intercostal retractions(^ {12})</td>
<td>Blamed clinicians for not providing information about being “out of sync”: “I was able to, in my own sort of way, put two and two together and figure, as soon as I get in sync here, that will stop”(^ {39})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased movement of extremities(^ {12})</td>
<td>Subjects desired to know more about dyssynchrony: “To know that there may be a time where my breathing and the ventilator’s breathing are not going to match”(^ {39})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coughing(^ {12})</td>
<td>Subjects desired that nurses provide coping strategies: Patients would prefer to know that “you can relax, and try to get back in sync with it, or let the nurses know that you’re waking up”(^ {39})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agitation(^ {13})</td>
<td>Discomfort, breathlessness, air hunger(^ {12,15,41,45})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abdominal excursions(^ {12})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased use of accessory muscles(^ {12})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inspiratory effort without triggering the ventilator(^ {12})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4 Phases of an assisted ventilated breath associated with patient-ventilator dyssynchrony\(^ {8}\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase of an assisted ventilated breath</th>
<th>Type of patient-ventilator dyssynchrony</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient initiates a breath</td>
<td>Trigger asynchrony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Ineffective effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Failure to trigger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Double triggering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Auto-triggering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient demands air flow from ventilator</td>
<td>Flow asynchrony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of inspiration and breath triggering</td>
<td>Termination asynchrony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Premature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of expiration</td>
<td>Expiratory asynchrony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^8\) Based on data from Nilsestuen and Hargett. 14
Ineffective triggering is shown in Figure 2.

Double triggering occurs when the patient is triggering the ventilator excessively through an excess demand for flow or volume that the ventilator is not preset to deliver. Clinical situations that could lead to this phenomenon include sighs, coughing with breathing, change in clinical status, or inappropriate ventilator settings. Determining the cause of the double triggering is important in order to resolve PVD. If the cause is a temporary situation, such as excessive coughing, the ventilator may be disconnected for a short duration until the episode is over. If double triggering is noted, nurses should consult with the collaborative team to adjust ventilator flow or volume settings to meet the patient’s demand (Figure 1).

Auto-triggering is caused by a maladjusted ventilator sensitivity level that recognizes signals other than the patient’s initiation of a breath. Examples of triggers that could cause auto-triggering include random noise in the circuit such as water (increased resistance); leaks (ie, circuit leaks, cuff leaks); and cardiogenic oscillations from patients with larger heart size, higher cardiac output, and higher ventricular filling pressures. Factors that promote auto-triggering include a low respiratory drive and decreased respiratory rate when hyperinflation is absent. Nurses should observe for causes of auto-triggering to prevent its occurrence and collaborate with the team to adjust the sensitivity level appropriately.

Flow Dyssynchrony. The second step of inspiration is the demand for air flow. Flow dyssynchrony can occur when the ventilator is not set correctly for the patient’s demand. Typically, the flow rate is set too low (eg, 40 L/min) compared with the patient’s inspiratory demand. One consequence of flow dyssynchrony is the creation of auto-PEEP; auto-PEEP is easily identified when the expiratory flow waveform does not return to zero before the next breath and a subsequent increase in peak inspiratory pressure is apparent. Flow dyssynchrony can occur in ventilated settings of volume- or pressure-cycled ventilation. During volume ventilation, the flow pattern is fixed and flow dyssynchrony can be identified by comparing the shapes of the pressure-time waveforms during complete passive breathing and patient-triggered breathing. A “dished out” appearance of the pressure wave during inhalation indicates flow dyssynchrony (Figure 3).

Termination Dyssynchrony. Next in the cycle of a breath is the end of inspiration and breath triggering; it is at this point that termination dyssynchrony can occur. Basically, this type of PVD occurs in all ventilator modes because clinicians cannot set the perfect expiratory time based on the dynamic and changing patient’s initiated inspiratory time. Du and Yamada state that the most important factor to consider with this type of PVD is the length of time between the patient’s inhalation effort and the end of ventilator flow. Termination PVD can be premature or delayed (Figure 4). In premature termination, the flow of air stops before the patient stops inhaling. In delayed termination, the patient is exhaling as the machine continues to deliver a breath.

Expiration Dyssynchrony. When the end of expiration is unmatched with the patient’s efforts, expiratory dyssynchrony can occur. During this time, expiration may be shortened or prolonged. In the event of shortened expiration, the consequence can be air trapping, auto-PEEP, and a possible inability to reach the trigger threshold that leads to failure to trigger. On the other
hand, prolonged expiration does not usually cause difficulties for the patient, unless the patient initiates a breath before the expiratory cycle is complete. Prolonged expiration may cause hypoventilation.14

**Manifestations and Measures of PVD**

Maintaining patient-ventilator synchrony in critically ill patients is required to prevent hypoxemia, hypercapnia, cardiovascular compromise, and excessive or inadequate sedation. Thanks to their frequent contact with patients, nurses and respiratory therapists are usually the first to observe that a patient is experiencing PVD. Although they may both detect changes in status, nurses and respiratory therapists may use different data to identify the change. Nurses describe patients who are “fighting the ventilator,”46 whereas respiratory therapists may be more likely to recognize PVD by noticing changes in the pressure/flow waveform.47,48

PVD can be detected by noting changes in the volume, pressure, and flow graphic waveforms displayed on the ventilator. Although PVD can be detected through waveform analysis,49 it is not clear whether nurses evaluate these waveforms accurately or whether nurses use data obtained from waveform analysis in their clinical practice. Indeed, Burns50 reported that few clinicians are proficient in understanding and applying the waveform graphic findings at the bedside. On the other hand, nurses may more often use markers of physiological instability and agitation as well as patients’ behaviors in general rather than

---

**Figure 3** Flow dyssynchrony. Display of pressure (top), flow (middle), and volume (bottom) vs time. During second breath, the ventilator square-wave flow is not adequate (pressure trace is dished out).

Figure courtesy of Jon Nilsestuen, PhD, RRT, University of Texas Medical Branch, School of Allied Health, Galveston.

**Figure 4** Termination dyssynchrony. Display of flow (top) and pressure (bottom) versus time reveals an example of “delayed termination dyssynchrony” (solid arrow) as the patient attempts exhalation before completion of the inspiratory breath, and “premature termination dyssynchrony” (dotted arrows) as the patient makes an inspiratory effort early in the expiratory phase.
Patient-ventilator synchrony is a clinical priority. Achieving optimal management of sedation, including the appropriate use of sedatives and paralytic agents, is paramount for minimizing the patient-ventilator interaction. DeJonghe et al reported that although many instruments have been used to measure effectiveness of sedation in ICU patients, none have been tested for their utility in detecting change in sedation status over time (responsiveness), and few of them help clinicians assess sedation.

Correction of PVD remains a clinical priority. Achieving optimal patient-ventilator synchrony is enhanced by recognizing the problem, accurately assessing the patient’s behavior, adjusting various ventilatory parameters, and optimizing sedative therapy. It is noteworthy, however, that simply increasing the level of sedation, without identifying the cause of PVD or simply making ventilator adjustments, may unnecessarily prolong time receiving mechanical ventilation. Although waveform analysis has been available for the past decade, the technology is underused. This underuse may be due to interpretation complexity, limited resources in ICU, and limited educational preparation of nurses. Burns offers a technique to monitor continuous airway pressures by using a transducer system connected to the side port of the ventilator circuit. This monitoring technique provides pressure/volume data similar to the data available on the ventilator display panel and offers a continuous view of the patient’s pulmonary dynamics, including evidence of dyssynchrony, auto-PEEP, and “breakthrough” respiratory efforts when sedatives and paralytic agents are used. The primary benefit of its use is the ability to record and print these graphics, allowing novice nurses time to identify and evaluate dyssynchronous patterns. Therefore, use of Burns’s technique would augment real-time appreciation and management of PVD, much like the use of cardiac monitoring to detect and manage dysrhythmias.

Nursing Implications for Improving the Phenomena of PVD

Monitoring of PVD

Correction of PVD remains a clinical priority. Achieving optimal patient-ventilator synchrony is enhanced by recognizing the

PVD as Part of Sedation Assessment

Because sedation is used to optimize the patient-ventilator interaction and reduce dyssynchrony, identification of PVD is paramount for optimal management of sedation. However, no definitions of PVD have been uniformly accepted, and evaluation of ventilator synchrony has not been included in commonly used tools for evaluating sedation, such as the Ramsay Scale, the Riker Sedation-Agitation Scale, or the RASS, which is primarily used to evaluate level of consciousness and agitation.

National organizations have stressed the need to evaluate and validate measures that ensure all goals of sedation are being met. The American Association of Critical-Care Nurses has called for prospective studies to establish and study population-specific, goal-oriented sedation-agitation scales to enhance the consistency of caregiver observations and allow comparison of drug effects in adults. In addition, the Society of Critical Care Medicine’s published clinical practice guidelines recommend that a sedation end point, using a validated sedation assessment scale, be regularly redefined with caregivers. In a systematic review of instruments for measuring the level and effectiveness of sedation in adult and pediatric ICU patients, De Jonghe et al reported that although many instruments have been used to measure effectiveness of sedation in ICU patients, none have been tested for their utility in detecting change in sedation status over time (responsiveness), and few of them help clinicians assess sedation.

More recently, sedation assessment tools have attempted to include an evaluation of PVD, using measurements of the patient-ventilator interaction. DeJonghe et al used international focus groups of bedside nurses, residents, and intensivists...
to identify PVD parameters to be included in their Adaptation to the Intensive Care Environment sedation tool44; however, the characteristics chosen have not been tested for validity against graphic analysis of dysynchrony, and reliability has not been determined. Although the Adaptation to the Intensive Care Environment tool uses a 4-item scale for assessing “blockade of inspiratory phase of ventilation,” respiratory rate more than 30/min, cough, and use of accessory muscles to assess ventilator synchrony, it is not clear if the inclusion of those factors is empirically based. A second tool, the RASS, uses a 10-item scale that is focused on level of consciousness and agitation, but it does include a behavior of “fights ventilator” as a criterion for agitation.

A consensus panel for the American Association of Critical-Care Nurses has developed a sedation assessment tool that includes the evaluation of PVD. This scale ranges from best (“appropriate physiological response achieved from patient-ventilator interface”) to worst (“patient-ventilator dysynchrony with detrimental physiological response”), although specific descriptions of physiological response are not included. Even though newer tools for evaluating sedation are being developed that include measures of PVD, they remain insufficient to measure this phenomenon reliably. Measurement of PVD is a priority for optimal use of sedation; however, sedation scales either do not include it in the evaluation of sedation level or the proposed measure has not been derived from an empirical foundation. Therefore, future nursing research is needed to identify the biobehavioral markers of PVD.

**Collaboration With the Health Care Team**

Collaboration between nurses, physicians, and respiratory therapists to manage PVD is imperative. Each professional assumes a different responsibility in patient care and contributes valuable information to the team. Uniquely, each team member brings a special school of thought to the phenomenon of PVD. Respiratory therapists are skilled in knowing the details of ventilator operation and modes, assessment of patients’ responses, and detection of airway pressure monitoring. Physicians are skilled in these similar attributes, as well as therapeutic disease management of patients receiving mechanical ventilation. Nurses are specifically skilled in observing and managing human responses to disease and the technology interface, but hold a prominent role in the coordination of patient care. Their role in understanding the larger picture greatly influences patients’ outcomes.

It is imperative that nurses commence interventions for improvement of care, which start by recognizing PVD. Establishing routine monitoring of airway pressure and flow real-time waveforms for PVD in patients receiving mechanical ventilation by using continuous airway pressure monitoring would be a significant contribution. Commercial ventilator vendors may consider adding graph paper for printouts of real-time waveforms for analysis and documentation of PVD, similar to the printouts from cardiac monitors used for rhythm strip interpretation. Automated techniques have been used in research to continuously detect ineffective and double triggering; when these methods are clinically realized, clinicians and their patients will benefit from the identification of PVD.60 Table 5 describes how to identify different types of PVD, their causes, and nursing contributions for collaboration with the health care team.

Last, teaching patients receiving mechanical ventilation how to become acclimated to the ventilator is important. Explaining and realizing sensations that patients may experience is essential. Nurses do not know how the circumstances of the “normal” ventilator experience affect the condition of PVD; however, nurses should provide psychosocial support and maintain excellent communication with patients, especially when other caregivers are in the room.

Nurses may need to use coaching strategies to help patients develop a breath pattern to assist with PVD until the cause is found. Patient stressors and the critical care environment should be assessed to determine the degree of conditions that could be changed for the patient’s benefit during PVD. Excessive environmental or psychological stressors may affect the interaction between patient and ventilator. Accordingly, early and accurate identification of PVD will enhance optimal use of sedative therapy and reduce duration of mechanical ventilation.
## Table 5: Identification, causes, and collaborative interventions for patient-ventilator dyssynchrony

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types/waves</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Collaborative interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trigger (before inspiration)</strong></td>
<td><strong>LOOK FOR:</strong> 1. <strong>Ineffective trigger:</strong> Negative deflection during inspiration or expiration on pressure (Paw) waveform with or without accompanying positive deflection in flow (V) waveform.</td>
<td><strong>1. Ineffective trigger attempt:</strong> Patients with low respiratory drive do not reach the trigger pressure in the correct time. Other factors: low Pco2, high tidal volume and pH, sedation, high levels of assist (pressure support or assist control). <strong>2. Double triggering:</strong> Patient effort is greater than volume/flow delivery (inappropriate settings or sudden onset of increased patient demand). <strong>3. Ineffective trigger attempt:</strong> Assess respiratory drive (neuromuscular/chemical) and correct as able. Evaluate for oversedation and reduce sedative infusion rate. Determine if patient is receiving too much assistance, may adjust pressure-support ventilation to decrease tidal volume. <strong>2. Double triggering:</strong> Adjust flow or volume settings to meet the patient’s demand. <strong>4. Consider use of bronchodilator to reduce PEEP</strong>. <strong>5. Consider size and diameter of endotracheal tube</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow (during inspiration)</strong></td>
<td><strong>LOOK FOR:</strong> 1. Concave appearance during inspiratory phase in the Paw waveform.</td>
<td><strong>1. An increased patient respiratory effort causes ventilator to not match the patient’s demand.</strong> <strong>2. Incomplete volume-controlled ventilation:</strong> Incorrect peak flow setting for patient. <strong>3. Incomplete pressure-controlled ventilation:</strong> A patient’s flow demand and the rate of flow delivery. <strong>1. Evaluate pressure time waveform to differentiate this from trigger dyssynchrony. Assess neural drive to breathe, determine causative factors (undersedation, agitation, disease) and manage appropriately.</strong> <strong>2. Volume-controlled ventilation:</strong> “Adjust peak flow setting until patient’s demand met, evidenced by pressure waveform resembling that of passive breathing”. <strong>3. Pressure-controlled ventilation:</strong> Finely adjust rise time (rate of pressure rise or flow rise) to reduce PEEP. <strong>4. Consider dual control modes</strong>. <strong>5. Consider size and diameter of endotracheal tube</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Termination (inspiration terminated when cycling variable reached)</strong></td>
<td><strong>LOOK FOR:</strong> 1. Premature: A concavity in the Paw occurs with prolonged high flow during inspiration, followed by breath with rapid flow deceleration in convex shape.</td>
<td><strong>1. Premature termination:</strong> During pressure-support ventilation: Caused by low levels of support, short time constant (rate of flow decay influenced by resistance and compliance factors of respiratory system and dynamic hyperinflation). During assist volume control: Caused by settings that yield short inspiratory time. <strong>2. Delayed termination:</strong> May be more prevalent in patients with COPD; Pressure-support ventilation settings that result in a long time constant (COPD, high pressure support level and low flow threshold for cycling off variable). <strong>1. Evaluate with flow and pressure time waveforms. May be difficult to see delayed termination during pressure support.</strong> <strong>2. Monitor for double triggering in premature termination and missed trigger efforts (incomplete trigger attempt) during delayed termination.</strong> <strong>3. For premature termination:</strong> Consider decreasing the flow threshold in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. <strong>4. For delayed termination:</strong> Determine if auto-PEEP is present by using expiratory hold maneuver. <strong>5. If auto-PEEP is present, consult with team to consider adjusting trigger sensitivity, peak flow, flow pattern, inspiratory time, breath-termination criteria, expiratory time, or respiratory rate, depending on the ventilation mode.</strong> <strong>6. Use a sedation scale to consider sedation and neuromuscular relaxants to weaken patient’s effort. Monitor patients for ineffective triggering, especially if auto-PEEP is present.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expiratory (on expiration)</strong></td>
<td><strong>LOOK FOR:</strong> 1. Expiratory flow waveform does not return to baseline.</td>
<td><strong>1. Common phenomena because neural (patient) inspiratory time varies, which affects termination and timing of expiration.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony: future directions for research

The collaborative health care team is contributing to the advancement of knowledge in the realm of PVD. Physicians are experimenting with different triggers and modes, and respiratory therapists are identifying strategies to detect PVD and manage it. Nursing publications on this topic are scarce, yet nurses have much to contribute. We plan to describe the biobehavioral markers of PVD, through direct observations and continuous data recordings of heart rate, respiratory rate, end-tidal carbon dioxide, and oxygen saturation by using continuous airway pressure monitoring to detect dyssynchrony. The hope is to recognize those manifestations that can be assessed to detect PVD. New questions about PVD can be raised (Table 6).

In conclusion, clinicians are challenged to recognize PVD and treat it appropriately. Collaborative teamwork will resolve the identification and treatment of PVD. CCM

Table 6  List of potential research questions for the future direction of nursing research on patient-ventilator dyssynchrony

- What is the effect of daily interruption of sedatives in high-risk patients on the incidence of patient-ventilator dyssynchrony?
- What is the effect of stress and environmental factors on patient-ventilator dyssynchrony?
- What is the relationship of dyspnea to patient-ventilator dyssynchrony?
- How do nurses use airway pressure monitoring to detect patient-ventilator dyssynchrony?
- What are the differences in reported practices of nurses, physicians, and respiratory therapists in recognizing and managing patient-ventilator dyssynchrony?
- What is the lived experience of the patient who has ventilator dyssynchrony?
- What types of patient-ventilator dyssynchrony occur during sleep/wake cycles in critically ill patients receiving mechanical ventilation?
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1. What is patient-ventilator dyssynchrony (PVD)?
   a. A mismatching between the patient’s breaths and ventilator-assisted breaths
   b. A mismatching between level of sedation and the patient’s consciousness
   c. The relationship of ventilator breaths to the patient’s breaths
   d. The relationship between oxygenation and ventilation

2. What percentage of intensive care unit (ICU) patients receive mechanical ventilation?
   a. 30%
   b. 80%
   c. 50%
   d. 100%

3. Which of the following factors will increase the patient’s respiratory drive?
   a. Suctioning
   b. Positioning
   c. Sedation
   d. Pain

4. What is auto-positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP)?
   a. Increased alveolar pressure from retained airway secretions
   b. Excess pressure on the alveoli at the end of expiration caused by shortened exhalation time
   c. Increased work of the diaphragm muscle due to body posture and abdominal distention
   d. Excess pressure on the alveoli caused by a disconnection of the ventilator circuit

5. What effect do peripheral chemoreceptors have on respiratory drive if the patient is acidic or hypoxicemic?
   a. Decreased respiratory rate and tidal volume
   b. Increased respiratory rate and rate
   c. Increased airway secretions and cough
   d. Increased respiratory rate and tidal volume

6. What should immediately trigger the ventilator?
   a. A patient inspiratory effort
   b. A patient expiration
   c. Increased airway pressure
   d. Decreased airway pressure

7. Why is sedation not a reliable treatment for reducing PVD?
   a. The highly sedated patient will require increased ventilation rates.
   b. The undersedated patient may have higher airway pressures.
   c. Highly sedated patients may become ineffective in generating an effective inspiratory trigger.
   d. The sedated patient may not be able to communicate.

8. What is the objective measure of PVD?
   a. Patient agitation level
   b. Frequent ventilator pressure alarms
   c. Pressure-flow graphic waveform
   d. Continuous monitoring of PaO2

9. What is double triggering?
   a. A second, stacked breath occurs after a short inspiration within the same breath cycle
   b. Failure to reach the trigger pressure in the correct time
   c. Expiratory pressure fails to return to baseline level
   d. Failure to receive a positive inflation after inspiration due to excessive auto-PEEP

10. Which of the following may cause PVD related to flow during inspiration?
    a. Undersedation
    b. Level of PEEP
    c. Size and diameter of endotracheal tube
    d. Oversedation

11. Ineffective triggering is manifested on pressure or flow waveforms by which of the following morphology changes?
    a. Concave appearance on the flow waveform during inspiration
    b. Concave appearance on the pressure flow waveform during inspiration
    c. Pressure spike at the end of inspiration on the pressure waveform
    d. Decrease in pressure waveform (pressure dip) not associated with a flow bump
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