Differentiation-dependent LMP1 expression is required for efficient lytic EBV reactivation in epithelial cells
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ABSTRACT

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-associated diseases of epithelial cells, including tumors such as nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) that have latent infection, and oral hairy leukoplakia (OHL) lesions that have lytic infection, frequently express the viral latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1). In lytically-infected cells, LMP1 expression is activated by the BRLF1 (R) immediate-early (IE) protein. However, the mechanisms by which LMP1 expression is normally regulated in epithelial cells remain poorly understood, and its potential roles in regulating lytic reactivation in epithelial cells are as yet unexplored. We previously showed that the differentiation-dependent cellular transcription factors, KLF4 and BLIMP1, induce lytic EBV reactivation in epithelial cells by synergistically activating the two EBV immediate-early promoters (Zp and Rp). Here we show that epithelial cell differentiation also induces LMP1 expression. We demonstrate that KLF4 and BLIMP1 cooperatively induce the expression of LMP1, even in the absence of the EBV IE proteins BZLF1 (Z) and R, via activation of the two LMP1 promoters. Furthermore, we find that differentiation of NOKs-Akata cells by either methylcellulose suspension, or by organotypic culture, induces LMP1 expression prior to Z and R expression. We show that LMP1 enhances the lytic-inducing effects of epithelial cell differentiation, as well as TPA and sodium butyrate treatment, in EBV-infected epithelial cells by increasing expression of the Z and R proteins. Our results suggest that differentiation of epithelial cells activates a feed-forward loop in which KLF4 and BLIMP1 first activate LMP1 expression, and then cooperate with LMP1 to activate Z and R expression.
The EBV protein LMP1 is expressed in EBV-associated epithelial cell diseases, regardless of whether these diseases are due to lytic infection (such as oral hairy leukoplakia), or latent infection (such as nasopharyngeal carcinoma). However, surprisingly little is known about how LMP1 expression is regulated in epithelial cells, and there are conflicting reports about whether it plays any role in regulating viral lytic reactivation. In this study, we show that epithelial cell differentiation induces LMP1 expression by increasing expression of two cellular transcription factors (KLF4 and BLIMP1) which cooperatively activate the two LMP1 promoters. We also demonstrate that LMP1 promotes efficient lytic reactivation in EBV-infected epithelial cells by enhancing expression of the Z and R proteins. Thus, in EBV-infected epithelial cells, LMP1 expression is promoted by differentiation and positively regulates lytic viral reactivation.
INTRODUCTION

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is a ubiquitous human gammaherpesvirus that infects the majority of the human population worldwide (1). It causes infectious mononucleosis and is associated with both lymphoid and epithelial cell malignancies, including post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease, diffuse large B-cell lymphomas, African Burkitt’s lymphoma, Hodgkin lymphoma, undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) and gastric carcinoma (1, 2). Like other herpesviruses, EBV undergoes latent and lytic modes of infection during its life cycle. The latent form of infection allows the virus to persist for the lifetime of the host, while the lytic form of infection enables infectious virion production and transmission from cell to cell and from host to host. Thus, both forms of infection are essential for the long-term success of the virus.

EBV infection in tumors is largely latent, since this form of infection does not kill the tumor cell and allows expression of virally-encoded oncogenes. There are at least three different types of EBV latency, two of which (types I and II) are found in EBV-infected epithelial cell tumors (1). In type I latency (the most restricted form), only one viral protein, EBNA1, is expressed, in addition to the virally-encoded small nuclear RNAs (EBERs), long noncoding RNAs, and virally-encoded microRNAs. In type II latency, the virally-encoded membrane proteins (LMP1, LMP2A, and LMP2B) are also expressed, in addition to EBNA1. EBV often exhibits type II latency in NPC tumors but generally has type I latency in EBV-positive gastric carcinomas (3–7).

In contrast to the latent EBV infection that occurs in undifferentiated epithelial cell tumors, EBV infection of normal oropharyngeal epithelial cells is largely restricted to the more differentiated cells and is lytic (1, 8–13). Lytic EBV infection is initiated by the transcriptional
effects of the two EBV immediate-early (IE) proteins, BZLF1 (Z) and BRLF1 (R) (14–21). Both
Z and R are required for lytic viral DNA replication. Activation of the Z and R IE promoters by
cellular transcription factors leads to the initial production of the immediate-early proteins, Z and
R, respectively (reviewed in 20–22); the Z and R transcription factors then auto-regulate their
own promoters as well as each other’s promoters, leading to further upregulation of Z and R
expression (23–26). We recently showed that epithelial cell differentiation activates the latent-to-lytic switch in EBV-infected epithelial cells by increasing expression of two cellular
transcription factors, KLF4 and BLIMP1, that synergistically activate the Z and R promoters
(11).

Latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) is required for EBV transformation of B cells in vitro
(27, 28), and is expressed during both latent and lytic infection. LMP1 mimics the effects of
CD40 signaling and activates multiple different cellular pathways including NF-κB, mitogen-
activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3-K), and JNK (reviewed in
29 and 30). In addition to B cell lymphomas that have type II or type III latency, LMP1
expression also commonly occurs in EBV-infected NPCs, and is thought to contribute to the
formation of these tumors (31–38).

LMP1 is also expressed during lytic EBV infection (39–42), and oral hairy leukoplakia
lesions in patients (caused by lytic EBV infection of differentiated tongue epithelium) have high
level LMP1 expression (43, 44). Furthermore, transfection of R into latently-infected 293 cells
activates LMP1 expression, and both of the two known LMP1 promoters (the proximal EDL1
promoter and the distal TR promoter) can be activated by R in reporter gene assays (39).
However, whether LMP1 plays any role in regulating the latent-to-lytic switch of EBV, or is
required for efficient virion production, remains controversial. One study found that Z-
transfected 293 cells infected with an LMP1-deleted (B95.8 strain) EBV mutant produced as many infectious virions as 293 cells infected with wild-type (WT) EBV (45), suggesting that LMP1 is not required for the later parts of lytic EBV replication in this cell type. In contrast, another study examining the phenotype of an LMP1-deleted (Akata strain) EBV mutant reported that LMP1 is required for efficient viral egress in lytically-infected Burkitt lymphoma cells (46). Conversely, other studies performed in B cells found that LMP1 negatively regulates the initial steps of lytic EBV reactivation through its effects on the NF-κB, protein kinase Cδ (PKCδ), and sumoylation pathways (47–49). Thus the role(s) of LMP1 in regulating the EBV latent-to-lytic switch may be influenced by the cellular environment and/or the type of EBV latency.

Most previous studies examining the regulation of LMP1 expression were performed in B cells. LMP1 transcripts can be initiated from two different promoters in the EBV genome. The more proximal promoter, LMP1-EDL1, is the predominant promoter used in EBV-infected B cells with type III latency, and is activated by the viral EBNA2 protein (50–52). The more distal promoter, LMP1-TR (located near the terminal repeats), is used in B cells with type II latency and in NPCs (50–52). Multiple layers of regulation act to ensure tight control of LMP1 transcription from both of these promoters. In addition to viral factors such as EBNA2, EBNA-LP, EBNA3C and microRNAs, cellular transcription factors including RBPJ, PU.1, SpiB, IRF4, EBF1, IRF7, Pax5, Notch-1, ATF-1, CREB-1, AP-2, NF-κB family members and STAT family members also regulate the activity of the LMP1 promoters (53–66). Epigenetic modifications of the viral genome, such as promoter DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and histone methylation, also regulate LMP1 expression (67).

Here we have examined whether epithelial cell differentiation regulates LMP1 expression in EBV-infected epithelial cells, and dissected the mechanisms for this effect. We have also...
investigated the role of LMP1 expression during differentiation-induced lytic EBV reactivation. We find that differentiation of EBV-infected epithelial cells activates LMP1 expression prior to activating either BZLF1 or BRLF1 expression. Furthermore, we show that two different cellular transcription factors, KLF4 and BLIMP1, contribute to this effect. In addition, we demonstrate that LMP1 is required for efficient Z and R expression in EBV-infected epithelial cells. These unexpected and somewhat surprising results suggest that cellular differentiation initially activates LMP1 transcription in EBV-infected epithelial cells, and that the LMP1 protein then cooperates with differentiation-induced cellular transcription factors to enhance Z and R expression.
RESULTS

Cellular differentiation induces LMP1 expression in epithelial cells. We previously showed that epithelial cell differentiation induces lytic EBV reactivation in the NOKs-Akata cell line (a telomerase-immortalized normal oral keratinocyte cell line that is stably infected with the Akata strain of EBV)(11). To determine whether differentiation of NOKs-Akata cells affects LMP1 expression, cells were differentiated by suspending them in methylcellulose for 48 hours, and the level of various EBV proteins and involucrin (an epithelial cell differentiation marker) were examined by immunoblot analysis. As expected, cells suspended in methylcellulose had greatly increased involucrin expression, confirming that the cells had undergone at least partial differentiation (Fig. 1A left panel). Consistent with our previous results (11), differentiated NOKs-Akata cells also had increased expression of the viral immediate-early lytic proteins, Z and R, as well as the early lytic protein, BMRF1 (Fig. 1A left panel). Of note, differentiation-mediated reactivation in NOKs-Akata cells also led to a large increase in the level of LMP1 expression (Fig. 1A left panel). Although LMP1 expression (derived from the LMP1-EDL1 promoter) in B cells with type III latency is driven by the EBV-encoded EBNA2 protein, methylcellulose-treated NOKs-Akata cells had no detectable EBNA2 expression (Fig. 1A right panel). Other methods of differentiating epithelial cells in vitro, such as growing them in organotypic raft cultures or treating them with high calcium and serum, also induced LMP1 expression in NOKs-Akata cells (Fig. 1B). These results confirm that lytic EBV reactivation, and/or differentiation per se, in NOKs-Akata cells leads to enhanced LMP1 expression.

To determine if lytic-inducing agents such as TPA and sodium butyrate can enhance LMP1 expression in EBV-infected epithelial cells that cannot undergo differentiation, we treated HONE-Akata cells (now thought to be an EBV-infected Hela cell line (68)) with either TPA or
sodium butyrate, and examined the levels of Z, R, BMRF1 and LMP1. Treatment with either of these chemicals reactivated expression of lytic EBV proteins Z, R and BMRF1, and also increased expression of LMP1 (Fig. 1C). These results confirm that LMP1 expression is strongly induced during lytic reactivation in epithelial cells by both differentiation-dependent and differentiation-independent stimuli.

**R (but not Z) activates LMP1 expression in EBV-infected epithelial cells.** To examine whether expression of Z and/or R is sufficient to activate LMP1 expression in the context of the intact viral genome in epithelial cells, an Akata bacmid mutant that is deleted for the BZLF1, BRLF1 and BRRF1 ("Na") genes was constructed and used to create a stably infected HeLa cell line. As shown in Figure 2, transfection of these cells with a Z expression vector did not activate LMP1 expression from the endogenous viral genome, while transfection of an R expression vector activated LMP1 expression. Interestingly, in contrast to their effect on LMP1 expression (where R alone is sufficient to induce LMP1), the combination of both Z and R was required to induce expression of the early lytic BMRF1 protein. This result confirms that R, not Z, primarily drives LMP1 transcription during the lytic form of EBV infection.

**LMP1 is required for the ability of cellular differentiation to efficiently induce lytic EBV reactivation in NOKs-Akata cells.** To determine whether the LMP1 that is induced during cellular differentiation has any role in regulating the latent-to-lytic switch of EBV, we performed LMP1 knockdown studies. NOKs-Akata cells were transfected with two different control siRNAs, or two different siRNAs targeting LMP1, and then treated with or without methylcellulose suspension for forty-eight hours to induce differentiation. Suspension in methylcellulose robustly increased expression of LMP1, as well as expression of the lytic proteins Z, R, and BMRF1, in cells transfected with a control siRNA (Fig. 3A). However,
preventing methylcellulose-induced LMP1 expression using an siRNA targeting LMP1
decreased the ability of methylcellulose to induce the expression of the Z, R, and BMRF1 lytic proteins, while not affecting induction of the cellular involucrin protein. Similar results were obtained when the experiment was repeated using a different control siRNA, and another LMP1-directed siRNA (targeting a different region of the LMP1 transcript)(Fig. 3B).

NOKs-Akata cells grown in monolayer culture (in the absence of differentiating agents)
have low level lytic viral protein expression that can be detected when immunoblots are loaded with a large amount of protein. To determine whether LMP1 also plays a role in this “constitutive” lytic protein expression (which is likely due to a small number of cells spontaneously differentiating), NOKs-Akata cells were transfected with two different control siRNAs or two different LMP1 siRNAs, followed by immunoblot analysis of a gel loaded with 25 µg of protein lysates (versus 5 ug of protein loaded in the blots shown in Figs. 3A and 3B).

Knockdown of LMP1 using two different siRNAs led to a decrease in the constitutive expression of Z, R, and BMRF1 in NOKs-Akata cells (Fig. 3C).

**LMP1 is also required for efficient chemically-induced lytic reactivation in HONE-Akata cells.** To determine if the role of LMP1 in lytic reactivation is unique to NOKs-Akata cells, or specific to the differentiation stimulus, HONE-Akata cells were treated with either control siRNAs or LMP1-directed siRNAs, and then exposed to TPA or sodium butyrate (Figure 4).

Knockdown of LMP1 expression decreased the amount of IE and early lytic EBV protein expression observed after either TPA treatment (Fig. 4A) or sodium butyrate treatment (Fig. 4B) of HONE-Akata cells. Knocking down LMP1 also inhibited the ability of TPA to induce the expression of lytic EBV proteins, Z, R and BMRF1, in AGS gastric carcinoma cells superinfected with EBV (Fig. 4C). Overall, these results suggest that LMP1 is required for
efficient lytic EBV reactivation in epithelial cells in response to a variety of different types of lytic-inducing agents, and indicate that LMP1 expression is specifically required for efficient activation of the two EBV IE proteins, Z and R.

**LMP1 expression is detected before Z expression during RAFTing-mediated differentiation of NOKs-Akata cells.** The unexpected finding that LMP1 increases the ability of lytic-inducing agents to enhance Z and R expression in EBV-infected epithelial cells suggested the possibility that LMP1 expression may be activated by these agents prior to Z and R expression. To test this hypothesis, we differentiated the NOKs-Akata cells in organotypic air-interface (RAFT) cultures. This technique, which we previously used to show that epithelial cell differentiation induces the latent to lytic switch of EBV (11), leads to the formation of a stratified epithelium that can be formalin-fixed and cross-sectioned for immunofluorescent staining at a single cell level. Moreover, these cross sections can be used to study the kinetics of viral gene expression during epithelial cell differentiation-mediated lytic reactivation. As shown in Figure 5, similar to our previous findings (11), we only observed Z-expressing cells in the upper (most differentiated) layers of the NOKs-Akata RAFTS (Fig. 5A). In contrast, LMP1-expressing cells were observed in all cell layers except for the basal layer itself. Furthermore, many more LMP1-expressing cells were observed than Z-expressing cells. Uninfected NOKs cells that were rafted stained negative for Z and LMP1, as expected. Similar results were obtained with an independently generated NOKs-Akata clone (Fig. 5B). However, we could not determine if LMP1 expression is induced before or after R expression in these experiments, since we have not yet identified an antibody that detects R protein by immunohistochemistry or immunofluorescence.

**LMP1 protein is expressed before the Z and R proteins during methylcellulose-mediated differentiation of NOKs-Akata cells.** To further examine if differentiation of NOKs-Akata
cells activates LMP1 expression prior to Z and R expression, NOKS-Akata cells were treated with methylcellulose suspension for various amounts of time, and protein extracts were obtained at each time point for immunoblot analysis. As shown in Figure 6, enhanced expression of the epithelial cell differentiation marker, involucrin, was first observed after six hours of methylcellulose treatment, and became even more marked at later time points. Expression of BLIMP1, which is required for differentiation of epithelial cells, was observed at the 2 hour time point, while expression of KLF4 (which we have previously shown to be expressed in both differentiated and undifferentiated NOKs cells (11)) was not significantly affected by methylcellulose treatment. Most importantly, methylcellulose treatment increased LMP1 protein expression at a much earlier time point (6 hours) than it increased expression of the Z and R proteins (12-24 hours). Expression of the early lytic BMRF1 protein was not significantly increased until the 48 hour time point. These results suggest that LMP1 expression precedes Z and R expression during methylcellose-induced differentiation of NOKs-Akata cells, and thus LMP1 may be activated by a differentiation-dependent mechanism that is independent of Z and R function.

The LMP1 transcript is expressed before the Z/R transcript in methylcellulose-differentiated NOKs-Akata cells. To confirm that methylcellulose treatment activates LMP1 transcription prior to Z/R transcription, qPCR analysis was performed using RNA harvested at various different time points (Figures 7A and 7B). As shown in figure 7C and 7D, the LMP1 primer set 1 used in this analysis can amplify transcripts derived from either of the two LMP1 promoters, and the Z/R primer set used amplifies transcripts derived from either the Z or R IE promoters. The LMP1 transcript was clearly increased (16-fold) after 2 hours of methylcellulose suspension; in contrast, the level of the Z/R transcript was not highly increased until 24 hours.
after methylcellulose suspension (Fig. 7A). Similar results were obtained in a second
independent experiment (Fig. 7B). Interestingly, the LMP1 primer set #2 (figure 7C), which can
only amplify message derived from the distal promoter, did not show detectable transcript in the
presence or absence of methylcellulose (although this primer amplified transcripts in MUTU III
Burkitt lymphoma cells) (data not shown). These results indicate that the LMP1 message level is
increased prior to any increase in the Z/R transcript during methylcellulose-mediated lytic
reactivation. Thus, LMP1 expression may be initially activated by differentiation-associated
cellular transcription factors. Our results also suggest that the methylcellulose-induced LMP1
transcript is primarily derived from the proximal EDL1 promoter.

**KLF4 and BLIMP1 can activate the LMP1 promoters in reporter gene assays.**

Differentiation of normal epithelial cells induces expression of both the KLF4 and BLIMP1
cellular transcription factors, and both of these transcription factors are required for normal
epithelial cell differentiation (69–73). We previously showed that KLF4 and BLIMP1
synergistically activate both the Z and R EBV immediate early promoters (11). Furthermore,
KLF4 and BLIMP1 were recently found to promote differentiation-dependent expression of
HPV late structural proteins in HPV-infected epithelial cells (74). Given our finding that LMP1
eexpression is increased by differentiating agents in EBV-infected NOKs cells, we performed
luciferase reporter gene assays to determine if KLF4 or BLIMP1 activates one or both of the two
LMP1 promoters in uninfected NOKs cells.

As shown in Figure 8A, either KLF4 alone or BLIMP1 alone produced low level
activation of both the proximal (LMP1-EDL1) and distal (LMP1-TR) promoters. Furthermore,
the combination of both KLF4 and BLIMP1 together synergistically activated both promoters,
although the effect on the proximal promoter was stronger. In contrast, the promoterless control
luciferase vector did not respond to either KLF4 or BLIMP1. These results indicate that both
LMP1 promoters are synergistically activated by the BLIMP1 and KLF4 combination in EBV-
negative epithelial cells.

We next asked whether KLF4 and/or BLIMP1 can also turn on expression of LMP1 from
the endogenous viral genome in the absence of Z and R expression. First, we confirmed that
KLF4 and BLIMP1 can activate LMP1 expression without concomitant EBNA2 expression in
NOKs-Akata cells and CNE-Akata cells (Fig. 8B). However, although since KLF4/BLIMP1
also activated Z and R expression in these EBV-positive epithelial cell lines, LMP1 expression
could potentially be driven by R, rather than KLF4/BLIMP1. We next examined whether HeLa
cells stably infected with a Z/R/BRRF1-deleted EBV mutant can express LMP1 when
transfected with either a KLF4 expression vector alone, a BLIMP1 expression vector alone, or
the combination of both vectors. As shown in Figure 8C, overexpression of KLF4 alone was
sufficient to induce expression of LMP1 from the Z/R/BRRF1-deleted EBV genome, while
BLIMP1 alone had no effect; furthermore, the combination of KLF4 and BLIMP1 together
induced more LMP1 expression than did KLF4 alone. These results confirm that KLF4 and
BLIMP1 can activate expression of LMP1 in the context of the intact EBV genome even in the
absence of Z or R expression. Thus, KLF4 and BLIMP1 likely contribute to differentiation-
dependent LMP1 expression in EBV-infected epithelial cells.
DISCUSSION

Repression of lytic EBV infection is essential for the development of EBV-infected epithelial cell tumors. However, the cellular and viral factors that determine whether EBV remains latent or lytic in epithelial cells are not currently well understood. We recently showed that epithelial cell differentiation promotes lytic EBV reactivation through differentiation-dependent expression of two different cellular transcription factors, KLF4 and BLIMP1, and demonstrated that KLF4 and BLIMP1 synergistically activate both the Zp and Rp EBV IE promoters (11). Here we have discovered that epithelial cell differentiation also activates expression of the EBV LMP1 protein (even in the absence of Z and R expression), and show that this effect is at least partially mediated by the ability of KLF4 and BLIMP1 to activate the two LMP1 promoters. Furthermore, although LMP1 has been shown to inhibit lytic EBV reactivation in B cells, we demonstrate that epithelial cell differentiation induces LMP1 expression prior to Z and R expression, and show that LMP1 enhances the efficiency of lytic EBV reactivation in epithelial cells. These results suggest that differentiation-induced LMP1 expression promotes lytic EBV reactivation in epithelial cells, in sharp contrast to the effect of LMP1 in EBV-infected B cells.

LMP1 acts as a constitutively active CD40-like receptor, and has been reported to negatively regulate lytic reactivation in EBV-infected B cells by various different mechanisms, including through activation of the NF-κB pathway (47), induction of IL-32 via the PKC-Delta pathway (49), and sumoylation of the cellular transcriptional repressor, KAP1 (48). In contrast, one study, using an LMP1-deleted EBV mutant, found that LMP1 positively regulates virion production in Burkitt lymphoma cells by increasing viral egress (46). The conflicting results obtained from previous studies in B cell lines suggest that the effects of LMP1 on lytic EBV
reactivation may differ depending upon the particular part of the lytic viral life cycle examined, with LMP1 inhibiting lytic reactivation in latent infection, but promoting the final stages of viral production during lytic infection.

In contrast to the previous studies in B cell lines, we show here that LMP1 enhances lytic EBV reactivation in EBV-infected epithelial cell lines. This effect was observed using a variety different lytic-inducing agents (including differentiation stimuli, TPA and sodium butyrate), and occurred in three different EBV-infected epithelial cell lines (NOKs-Akata, HONE-Akata, and AGS-Akata). Unexpectedly, knockdown of LMP1 decreased the ability of lytic-inducing stimuli to activate expression of the two immediate-early proteins, Z and R. Furthermore, we showed that LMP1 expression occurs before Z and R expression in both RAFTED NOKS-Akata cells, and in methylcellulose-differentiated NOKs-Akata cells. These surprising results suggest that LMP1 may function as an “immediate-early” type protein during lytic reactivation in epithelial cells, since its expression level is increased at time points prior to the increase in the Z and R genes, and LMP1 appears to be required for efficient Z and R expression in these cells.

We found that LMP1 is not detectably expressed in the undifferentiated basal layer cells in RAFTED NOKs-Akata cells, but is expressed in even the lowest layers of suprabasal (differentiated) cells. Although this result could simply reflect the increased Z and R expression that occurs in the suprabasal layers (allowing the LMP1 promoter to be activated by these IE transcription factors), we obtained a variety of additional results suggesting that LMP1 expression can be activated by epithelial cell differentiation independent of any Z and/or R effect. First, in RAFTED NOKS-Akata cells, Z expression was restricted to the most differentiated cell layers, while (in comparison to Z) LMP1 was expressed in less differentiated cell layers. Second, in methylcellulose-differentiated NOKs-Akata cells, LMP1 activation
occurred before Z and R activation at both the protein and transcript levels. Third, we demonstrated that the differentiation-dependent cellular transcription factors, KLF4 and BLIMP1, can activate both LMP1 promoters in EBV-negative NOKs cells. Finally, we showed that KLF4 and BLIMP1 over-expression can also activate LMP1 expression in the context of the intact viral genome in HeLa cells infected with a Z/R/BRRF1-deleted Akata strain mutant. Interestingly, the combination of KLF4/BLIMP1 did not activate LMP1 expression in 293 cells (thought to be derived from fetal neuronal cells (75)) infected with this same EBV mutant (data not shown), suggesting that the ability of these factors to activate LMP1 may be cell-type dependent.

The cellular transcription factors KLF4 and BLIMP1 are required for the regulation of numerous cellular genes that are induced by terminal differentiation of epithelial cells, and we previously reported that KLF4 and BLIMP1 synergize with each other to cooperatively activate both the Z and R immediate-early promoters of EBV (11). Furthermore, KLF4 was recently demonstrated to directly interact with, and cooperate with BLIMP1 to activate transcription of late viral genes of human papillomavirus (HPV) during epithelial cell differentiation (74). Together, these findings suggest that DNA viruses may commonly use the KLF4/BLIMP1 transcription factors to regulate their life cycles during epithelial cell differentiation.

Here, we found that overexpression of BLIMP1 alone does not activate either of the two LMP1 promoters in the presence of endogenous KLF4 expression in NOKs, although it synergistically activates both of these promoters with co-transfected KLF4 (Fig. 8). In contrast to normal undifferentiated epithelial cells, we (11) and others (74) have shown that KLF4 is expressed even in undifferentiated NOKs cells, although the transcriptional activity of this constitutively expressed KLF4 may be somewhat impaired since it does not by itself lead to
epithelial cell differentiation or activation of lytic EBV promoters. Since BLIMP1 expression remains differentiation-dependent in NOKs cells, and both KLF4 and BLIMP1 are required to activate lytic EBV expression, EBV presumably stays latent in undifferentiated NOKs cells due to the lack of BLIMP1 expression. In addition, if KLF4 and BLIMP1 must directly interact to activate certain EBV promoters (including the two LMP1 promoters), it is possible that constitutively expressed KLF4 in NOKs-Akata cells is already tied-up in other protein complexes and therefore less available for interactions with BLIMP1 on these viral promoters. The Laimin lab has reported that post-translational modifications of KLF4 also regulate its functions in HPV-infected NOKs cells (74), which could potentially similarly contribute to the differentiation-dependent increase in lytic EBV protein expression.

Although the exact mechanism(s) by which LMP1 enhances Z and R expression in EBV-infected epithelial cells are not yet determined, it is noteworthy that NF-KB activation (a major downstream signal of LMP1) has been previously shown to be required for TGF-beta induced activation of these proteins in Burkitt lymphoma cells (76). Since we have not found that over-expression of LMP1 is sufficient by itself to induce lytic EBV reactivation in EBV-infected epithelial cells (data not shown), the activating effect of LMP1 on lytic EBV reactivation may only occur when the protein is expressed at physiologic levels, and may require that other inducing stimuli (such as epithelial cell differentiation factors) are also present. Although our results here using two different control siRNAs and two different LMP1-directed siRNAs strongly suggest that lytic LMP1 expression contributes to differentiation-mediated viral reactivation, in the future it will be important to confirm this finding using NOKs-Akata cells infected with an LMP1-deleted EBV mutant. Unfortunately, since the NOKs parental cells are already hygromycin-resistant (due to their immortalization using a hygromycin-resistant TERT
vector), we have been unable to stably infect them with two different LMP1-deleted EBV mutants (in B95.8 and M81 strain bacmids) that use the hygromycin-resistant gene to stably select for EBV-infected cell lines.

Together, our results suggest a model of differentiation-induced lytic EBV reactivation in epithelial cells that is shown in figure 9. First, differentiation leads to increased expression of the cellular transcription factors, KLF4 and BLIMP1. KLF4 and BLIMP1 then activate expression of the LMP1 promoter, leading to LMP1 expression. LMP1, in combination with KLF4 and BLIMP1, helps to induce expression of the Z and R proteins. The R protein then further activates expression of the LMP1, Z and R promoters, and Z induces further activation of the R and Z promoters, resulting in a positive feed-forward loop. Finally, our results also suggest that loss of LMP1 expression in EBV-infected NPCs (which is reported to occur in up to 20-60% of tumors) may reflect a less differentiated state, and be selected for in some tumors as a mechanism to promote viral latency.
MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Cell lines and culture:

The NOKs cell line (a gift from Dr. Karl Munger, Tufts University, USA) is a telomerase-immortalized normal oral keratinocyte cell line that was established as previously described (77). This cell line was maintained in an undifferentiated state by growing them in keratinocyte serum-free medium (K-SFM) (Life Technologies Inc.) supplemented with epidermal growth factor, pituitary extract, and 0.2% pen-strep. The NOKs-Akata cell line was derived by co-culturing the uninfected NOKs cells with Akata-GFP Burkitt lymphoma cell line (a gift from Dr. Kenzo Takada, Hokkaido University, Japan via Dr. Bill Sugden, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA) for 24 hours, followed by selection with 50 ug/mL of G418 as previously described (78). Akata-GFP Burkitt’s lymphoma cell line is a type I latency Burkitt lymphoma line that has lost its endogenous EBV genome and was then superinfected with an Akata EBV containing inserted green fluorescent protein (GFP) and G418 resistance genes as previously described (79). It also supports a low level of constitutive lytic gene expression and was maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% pen-strep, and 500 ug/mL G418. Kem I and Kem III are EBV-infected Burkitt lymphoma cell lines that exhibit type I and type III latency, respectively (received from Jeff Sample). They were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, and 1% pen-strep. HONE-Akata cells (a gift from Lawrence Young, University of Birmingham) and CNE-Akata cells (a gift from Dolly Huang (University of Hong Kong) via Diane Hayward at Hopkins University) were established by superinfecting these epithelial carcinoma cell lines with the Akata strain of EBV. HONE cells and CNE cells were previously thought to have originated from nasopharyngeal carcinoma but have recently also been shown to have at least partially derived from Hela cells (68). HONE-Akata cells and CNE-Akata cells were cultured in DMEM,
supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% pen-strep, and 400 ug/mL G418. AGS-Akata cells (a gift from Dr. Lindsey Hutt-Fletcher, Lousiana State University, USA) are AGS gastric carcinoma cells superinfected with Akata strain of EBV as previously described (80). They were grown in F-12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% pen-strep, and 400 ug/mL G418. Hela cell line is a human papillomavirus (HPV)-infected cervical carcinoma cell line that were grown in DMEM, supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% pen-strep.

Construction of EBV mutant genomes:

EBV AK-BAC-GFP, described previously (79), is parental to EBV Akata RZNa KO BACmid, which was constructed using the GS1783 *E. coli*-based *En Passant* method previously described (81). Briefly, coordinates 89,674…93,036 (GenBank: KC207813.1) spanning BZLF1, BRFL1, and BRRF1 were replaced with an Ampicillin resistance marker using the homologous recombination. The PCR product containing the Ampicillin gene and homology arms to EBV Akata was generated using pEP-Kan-S2 (81) and primers listed in table 1. Subsequently, this BACmid was transferred into BM2710 *E. coli* (82) used for infection of NOKs and Hela cells. The integrity of the BACmid was confirmed by restriction enzyme digestion with at least 2 enzymes (BamHI and EcoRI). Furthermore, the mutation was confirmed by high fidelity PCR amplification and sequencing of the mutated junction using primers listed in Table 1.

Plasmids and siRNA:

Plasmid DNAs were purified using the QIAGEN Plasmid Maxiprep kits, as described by the manufacturer. pCDNA3.1-HA-KLF4 (Addgene plasmid # 34593, a gift from Dr. Michael Ruppert) expresses CMV promoter driven human KLF4 that is HA-tagged at the amino-terminal (83). pCDNA3.1-BLIMP1 (a gift from Dr. Kenneth Wright, Lee Moffit Cancer Center, USA)
expresses CMV promoter driven human BLIMP1 that is FLAG-tagged at the amino-terminal (84). pSG5-Z and pSG5-R (a gift from Dr. S.D. Hayward, Johns Hopkins University) express SV40 promoter driver Z and R immediately early viral lytic proteins respectively, and they were constructed as previously described (85, 86). The pCpGL-basic is a promoterless vector driving the luciferase gene and has been engineered to lack any CpG in the vector background, as previously described (87). The LMP1-EDL1 promoter (position in the B95.8 EBV genome: 169483 to 1700643) and LMP1-TR promoter (position in the B95.8 EBV genome: 169986 to 170595) were PCR amplified from the EBV B95.8 genome and cloned upstream of the luciferase gene in the pCpGL-basic using the SpeI and BglII restriction sites. RNA duplexes were synthesized by Dharmacon Research (Lafayette, CO). The sequence for two different custom ordered LMP1 siRNAs are AAGAGACCUUCUCUGUCCACU and GGUCAAAGAACAAGGCCAAUU. Two different non-targeting siGENOME siRNAs were also obtained from Dharmacon.

Organotypic raft cultures:

Uninfected NOKs cells and NOKs-Akata cells were grown in organotypic raft cultures as previously described (11). Briefly, the dermal equivalent was prepared in transwell inserts (Costar, 24 mm diameter and 0.4 µm pore size) by coating with a layer of 1 ml collagen (Wako Chemicals, 3 mg/ml) premix containing F-12 medium, 10% FBS, and 1% pen-strep. This was followed by a coating with another layer of 2.5 ml collagen premix containing F-12 medium, 10% FBS, 1% pen-strep, and 4.5 x 10^5 early passage human fibroblasts (EF-1-F). This dermal equivalent was allowed to incubate in F-12 medium, supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% pen-strep, at 37°C and 5% carbon dioxide. After four days, 2.1 x 10^5 uninfected NOKs or NOKs-Akata cells were plated on the dermal equivalent in keratinocyte plating medium (F medium
[1.88 mM Ca2+] containing 0.5% FBS, adenine (24 μg/ml), cholera toxin (8.4 ng/ml), hydrocortisone (2.4 μg/ml), and insulin (5 μg/ml). After letting the NOKs cells grow until confluent for four days, the raft cultures were raised at air-liquid interface and fed through the pores of transwell inserts with cornification medium (keratinocyte plating medium containing 5% FBS and 10 μM C8:0). Raft cultures were grown at air-liquid interface for 11 days, with cornification medium replaced every other day. Finally, the rafts were harvested and embedded in 2% agar – 1 % formalin, followed by fixation in 10% neutral buffered formalin overnight. The raft tissues were then paraffin embedded and cut into 4 μm crosssections.

**Immunofluorescence (IF) studies:**

Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded raft tissues were deparaffinized, followed by immunofluorescence analysis as described previously (88). The primary antibodies used were anti-Z (BZ.1) monoclonal antibody (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, SC- 53904) and prediluted anti-LMP1 (CS1-4) monoclonal antibody (1:5, Abcam, ab7502). The secondary antibody used was Alexa 647 conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:5000, Life Technologies, A-31571). The stained sections were mounted using ProLong Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (Life Technologies, P36935).

**Chemical reagents:**

Cells were treated for 48 hours (unless noted otherwise in the results and figure legends) with the following chemical reagents: phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (TPA; 20 ng/mL. Sigma), sodium butyrate (3mM, Sigma), methylcellulose (1.6 % in K-SFM, Sigma), calcium chloride (1.2 mM), and serum (20% by volume).

**Transient transfections:**
Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalog # 11668019) and RNAiMax reagent (Invitrogen, Catalog # 13778150) were used to transfect epithelial cells with plasmid DNA and siRNA respectively. In general, 500 ng of total plasmid DNA was used to transfect all epithelial cells in a well of a 12-well plate. 12.5 pmol siRNA was used to transfect HONE-Akata and AGS-Akata cells, and 4 pmol of siRNA was used to transfect NOKs-Akata cells, in a well of a 12-well plate. The cells were transfected with siRNA, 24 hours prior to the treatment with TPA, sodium butyrate or methyl-cellulose.

**Immunoblot analysis:**

Cell lysates were harvested in Sumo lysis buffer including protease inhibitors (Roche) as described previously (89). Protein concentration was determined using the Sumo protein assay (Biorad), and proteins were separated in SDS-10% polyacrylamide gels and then transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked in PBS containing 5% milk, and 0.1% Tween 20 solution. Membranes were then incubated in the following primary antibodies: anti-Z (Santa Cruz, catalog # sc-53904, 1:250), anti-BMRF1 (Millipore, catalog # MAB8186, 1:3,000), anti-R rabbit polyclonal antibody directed against the R peptide (peptide sequence EDPDEETSQAVKALREMAD, 1:2,500), anti-KLF4 (Cell Signaling, catalog # 4038, 1:1,000), anti-BLIMP1 (Cell Signaling, catalog # 9115, 1:1,000), anti-LMP1 (Abcam, catalog # ab78113, 1:1000), anti-β-actin (Sigma, catalog # A5441, 1:5,000), anti-tubulin (Sigma, catalog # T5168, 1:2,000), anti-actin (Abcam, 1:1000), and anti-involucrin (Sigma, catalog # I9018, 1:3000). The secondary antibodies used were horseradish peroxidase (HRP)- labeled goat anti-mouse antibody (Fisher Scientific, 1:5,000) and HRP- labeled anti-rabbit antibody (Fisher Scientific, 1:5,000).

**Reporter gene assays:**
Uninfected NOKs cells were harvested in 1x reporter lysis buffer (Promega, catalog # E3971) 48 hours after transfection with the reporter gene constructs with or without the transcription factors. The harvested cells were lysed by subjecting them to one freeze-thaw cycle, followed by quantification of relative luciferase units using a BD Monolight 3010 luminometer (BD Biosciences) and the Luciferase assay system (Promega, catalog # E4530).

**Reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis:**

Total RNA was extracted using the RNA-Bee reagent (Tel-Test Inc., catalog # Cs-104B) from NOKs-Akata cells that were resuspended in methylcellulose for the indicated time points. The extracted RNA was then DNAse treated, followed by reverse transcription using random primers and GoScript Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, catalog # A5000). Real-time PCR was performed on the reverse transcribed cDNA using the iTaq Universal SYBR Green mix (Bio-Rad, catalog # 1725121) in Biorad CFX96 machine. 1.5 µl of cDNA was used for 40 cycles (15 seconds at 95°C and 30 seconds at 60°C), using the primers that will detect i) LMP1 transcript originating from both TR and ED-L1 promoters (LMP1-TR + EDL1, forward primer: 5’- TGAGTAGGAGGGTGA – 3’ and reverse primer: 5’- CTATTCCTTTGCTCTCATGC - 3’), ii) LMP1 transcript that only originated from the TR promoter (LMP1-TR, forward primer: 5’ - GGCAGTGAGGGTGTA – 3’ and reverse primer: 5’ - GGCAGTGAGGGTGTA – 3’ and reverse primer: 5’ - TTCTACACAAAACACACGCTTT – 3’), iii) region that overlaps with both Z and R transcripts (Z+R, forward primer: 5’ – ACCTCAACCTGGAGACAATT – 3’ and reverse primer: 5’ – TGCTGCTAGCTGTGTGTTTTCT – 3’), iv) region that specifically detects R transcript (R, forward primer: 5’ – GACTTTCTGAGGCTGCTACTCCGT – 3’ and reverse primer: 5’ – CACACTCCCAGCTGTAAT – 3’), and v) beta-Actin transcript (forward primer: 5’ – GCCGGGAGCTGACTGACTAC- 3’ and reverse primer: 5’ -
TTCTCCTTAATGTCACGCACGAT – 3’). Beta-actin was used as a housekeeping gene, and transcripts were quantified using the delta-delta Cq methods for each time point.
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**FIGURE LEGENDS**

Fig. 1. Cellular differentiation induces LMP1 expression in epithelial cells. A) NOKs-Akata cells were either grown in monolayer or resuspended in methylcellulose (1.6% in K-SFM) for 48 hours. In the left panel, immunoblot analysis was performed to compare the levels of viral proteins (Z, R, BMRF1, and LMP1), a differentiation-dependent cellular protein, involucrin, and the cellular protein Tubulin (as a loading control). In the right panel, immunoblot analysis was performed to compare the levels of viral proteins (EBNA2 and LMP1) and the cellular protein Tubulin (as a loading control). Kem I and Kem III are isogenic Burkitt lymphoma cell lines that have type I and type III latency, respectively and serve as negative and positive controls for LMP1 and EBNA2 expression. B) Immunoblot analysis of NOKs and NOKs-Akata cells grown in untreated monolayer culture (mono, left panels), organotypic culture (raft, middle panels, 2 independent rafts per cell type analyzed), or monolayer cultures treated with high calcium (1.2 mM final) and high serum (20% final) for 0, 24, 48, or 72 hours (right panels). Top panels: LMP1-specific immunoblot. Bottom panels: actin-specific immunoblot. Left and middle panels were taken from the same immunoblot. C) HONE-Akata cells were either treated with nothing, TPA (20 ng/μL), or sodium butyrate (3 mM) for 48 hours. Immunoblot analysis was performed to compare the levels of viral proteins (Z, R, BMRF1 and LMP1) and the cellular protein Tubulin (as a loading control). Molecular weight markers for the LMP1 blot are also indicated.

Fig. 2. R activates LMP1 expression in EBV-infected epithelial cells. Hela cells infected with a Z/ R/BRRF1-deleted EBV mutant were transfected with either control vector or Z and R expression vectors (either alone or in combination) as indicated. Immunoblot analysis was performed to compare the levels of transfected Z and R, and induction of EBV proteins LMP1.
and BMRF1 from the endogenous viral genome. Cellular protein Tubulin was used as a loading control.

**Fig. 3. LMP1 is required for the ability of cellular differentiation to induce lytic EBV reactivation efficiently in NOKs-Akata cells.** A and B) NOKs-Akata cells were transfected with either of two different control siRNAs or two different control siRNAs, and then were either left in monolayer culture or resuspended in methylcellulose for 48 hours. Immunoblot analysis was performed using 5 μg of protein lysates to detect the expression of viral proteins (LMP1, Z, R, and BMRF1), the cellular proteins involucrin and GAPDH (as a loading control). C) NOKs-Akata cells were transfected with either two different control siRNAs or two different LMP1 siRNAs for 48 hours and immunoblot analysis was performed using 25 μg of protein lysates to detect the endogenous expression level of viral proteins (LMP1, Z, R, and BMRF1) and cellular protein Tubulin (as a loading control).

**Fig. 4. LMP1 is required for efficient chemically-induced lytic reactivation in epithelial cells.** HONE-Akata cells were transfected with either two different control siRNAs or two different LMP1 siRNAs, followed by treatment with or without A) 20 ng/μL TPA or B) 3mM Sodium butyrate for 48 hours. Immunoblot analysis was performed to detect the expression of viral proteins (LMP1, R, Z, and BMRF1) and cellular protein Tubulin (as a loading control) as indicated. C) AGS-Akata cells were transfected with either a control siRNA or an LMP1 siRNA, followed by treatment with or without 20 ng/μL TPA for 48 hours. Immunoblot analysis was performed to detect the expression of viral proteins (LMP1, Z, R, and BMRF1) and cellular protein Tubulin (as a loading control).

**Fig. 5. LMP1 expression is detected before Z expression during RAFTing-mediated differentiation of NOKs-Akata cells.** Uninfected NOKs cells (right panels in A and B) and two
independent clones of EBV-infected NOKs-Akata cells (left panels in A and B) were grown in organotypic raft cultures, and immunofluorescence analysis was performed to detect the expression of EBV proteins (Z and LMP1 – both stained in red) as indicated. Examples of Z-stained cells are indicated by red arrows. DAPI is stained in blue.

**Fig. 6.** The LMP1 protein is expressed before the Z and R proteins during methylcellulose-mediated differentiation of NOKs-Akata cells. NOKs-Akata cells were resuspended in 1.6% methycellulose (in K-SFM) and harvested at the indicated time points post-treatment. Immunoblot analysis was performed to detect the expression of viral proteins (Z, R, BMRF1, and LMP1), and cellular proteins (involucrin, KLF4, BLIMP1 and Tubulin) as indicated. Tubulin was used as a loading control.

**Fig. 7.** The LMP1 transcript is expressed before the Z/R transcript in methylcellulose-differentiated NOKs-Akata cells. A and B) RNA was isolated from NOKs-Akata cells that were suspended in 1.6% methycellulose for the indicated periods of time. qPCR analysis was performed on reverse-transcribed RNA to detect the transcript levels of total LMP1 or Z + R using the LMP1 (#1) and Z+R primers shown in C and D. An LMP1 primer set (#2) that detects only the LMP1-TRp initiated LMP1 transcript did not detect LMP1 message in methyl-cellulose treated NOKs-Akata cells, although it detected transcript in Mutu III Burkitt lymphoma cells (data not shown). Beta-globin was used as a housekeeping gene for normalization. Data is plotted as fold-change (increase), relative to the 0 hour sample that is set to 1.

**Fig. 8.** KLF4 and BLIMP1 synergistically activate the LMP1 promoters. A) Reporter gene constructs containing either the proximal LMP1 promoter (LMP1– EDL1), the distal LMP1 promoter (LMP1– TR), or no promoter sequences upstream of the luciferase gene were co-transfected into EBV-negative NOKs cells with either control vector, KLF4 vector alone,
BLIMP1 vector alone, or the combination of KLF4 and BLIMP1 vectors. Luciferase assays were performed 2 days after transfection. Total luciferase activity for each of the conditions from a representative experiment is shown (average +/- the standard deviation of results from three replicates), as well as the fold-increase in activity induced by KLF4 or BLIMP1. Similar results were obtained in three separate experiments. B) NOKs-Akata cells (left) or CNE-Akata cells (right) were transfected with either control vector or KLF4 and BLIMP1 expression vectors, and immunoblots performed to detect LMP1, EBNA2, Z, R and tubulin. Kem III cells served as the positive control for EBNA2 and LMP1. C) Hela cells infected with a mutant EBV virus deleted in the Z/R/BRRF1 genes were transfected with either control vector or KLF4 and BLIMP1 expression vectors (either alone or in combination). Immunoblot analysis was performed to compare the levels of transfected KLF4 and BLIMP1, and the induction of EBV protein LMP1 from the EBV genome. Cellular protein Tubulin was used as a loading control.

Fig. 9. A proposed model depicting the effects of epithelial cell differentiation on the EBV lytic reactivation cascade. Epithelial cell differentiation activates expression of the differentiation-dependent cellular transcription factors, KLF4 and BLIMP1. KLF4 and BLIMP1 then activate the promoters of the LMP1, Z and R EBV proteins. The LMP1 protein (which is produced earlier than the Z and R proteins) enhances activation of the Z and R promoters in differentiating cells through an as yet unknown mechanism. The R protein then furthers activates the LMP1, Z and R promoters, while the Z protein further activates the Z and R promoters.
Table 1. Primers used for construction of EBV Akata RZNa KO BACmid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akata RZNa KO</td>
<td>AGTCAACATCCAGGCTTGGGCACATCTGCTTC AAGAAGTACTACGACGGCATCTCCATTAGG GATAACAGGTAATTTAATTAATGCTAATCAG TGAG</td>
<td>EBV Akata RZNa KO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akata RZNa KO</td>
<td>CGATTACCTTCTCATACCTATGGAGATGCCGTCGTAATGACTCCCTGTGAAGCAGATGTGCGGGG GAAATGTGCAGCGGAC</td>
<td>EBV Akata RZNa KO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZNa Span-Fwd</td>
<td>GTGTTGCAGTATGTACAGTTAGC</td>
<td>Sequencing mutated junction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZNa Span-Rev</td>
<td>GTAATGGCATCAGTGACCTC</td>
<td>Sequencing mutated junction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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